

## LGBTQ Grantmaking by U.S. Foundations

Grants

## Total Annual LGBTQ Grant Dollars, 2007-2016*

Foundation funding for LGBTQ issues reached a record high of $\$ 202.3$ million in 2016, at a significantly increased rate of growth of 25 percent. This increase was buoyed by $\$ 29.5$ million in direct financial assistance provided by the OneOrlando Fund for the approximately 300 hundred survivors and family members of victims of the Pulse Nightclub Massacre. Without that funding, funding for LGBTQ issues totaled $\$ 172.8$ million in 2016 , experiencing a more modest 7.5 percent increase.

*Inflation numbers are based on the Bureau of Labor Statistics Consumer Price Index.

Nominal dollars (not adjusted)2016 dollars (adjusted for inflation)

| 2007 | $\$ 80,295,279$ | $\$ 92,945,129$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2008 | $\$ 116,181,019$ | $\$ 129,511,701$ |
| 2009 | $\$ 96,533,298$ | $\$ 107,993,806$ |
| 2010 | $\$ 96,829,756$ | $\$ 106,577,298$ |
| 2011 | $\$ 123,012,423$ | $\$ 131,252,662$ |
| 2012 | $\$ 121,412,490$ | $\$ 126,919,029$ |
| 2013 | $\$ 129,112,119$ | $\$ 133,019,451$ |
| 2014 | $\$ 153,248,693$ | $\$ 155,366,142$ |
| 2015 | $\$ 160,702,984$ | $\$ 162,730,273$ |
| 2016 | $\$ 202,312,772$ | $\$ 202,312,772$ |
| 2016 | $\$ 172,802,772$ | $\$ 172,802,772$ |
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If you include OneOrlando Fund grantmaking, for every $\$ 100$ dollars awarded by U.S. foundations, 34 cents specifically supported LGBTQ issues. However, if you exclude OneOrlando Fund grantmaking, for every \$100 dollars awarded by U.S. foundations, only 29 cents specifically supported LGBTQ issues.

## Top 10 Funders of LGBTQ Issues, by Total Dollar Amount*†

In 2016, the top 10 funders of LGBTQ issues provided $\$ 134.5$ million in grants-an increase of $\$ 42$ million-accounting for 57 percent of the year's total. The increase by the top 10 was largely due to the $\$ 29.5$ million from the OneOrlando Fund and the $\$ 9.8$ million from Equality Florida, both of which raised funds that year for direct assistance for the survivors and family members of victims of the Pulse Nightclub Massacre.


[^0]${ }^{+}$In contrast to other charts in this report, this list of top funders includes dollars awarded for re-granting, so as to capture the full amount of funding flowing from (or through) each funder.

## Sources of LGBTQ Grant Dollars, by Funder Type

In 2016, nearly all funder categories increased their support of LGBTQ issues. In particular, funding from public foundations (both LGBTQ-focused and non-LGBTQ-focused) and corporations all saw sharp increases ranging from 40 percent to more than 100 percent - driven largely by giving from a variety of funders channeled through the OneOrlando Fund.

Excluding OneOrlando Fund



Non-LGBTQ Private Foundations \$46,582,150<br>LGBTQ Private<br>Foundations<br>\$41,817,405<br>LGBTQ Public<br>Foundations<br>\$23,791,278

Including OneOrlando Fund


Non-LGBTQ


## Distribution of LGBTQ Grant Dollars, by Geographic Focus

Even with a significant increase in giving globally, approximately three-quarters of LGBTQ funding from U.S.-based foundations and corporations supported LGBTQ communities in the United States.
Excluding OneOrlando Fund

| $9 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 8 \%}$ | $31 \%$ |  | $4 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| International (Global Focus) | Outside the U.S. | U.S. - National | U.S. - Regional (Multi-State) | U.S. - Statewide | U.S. - Local |  |
| $\$ 14,696,231$ | $\$ 30,816,851$ | $\$ 53,884,827$ | $\$ 6,060,245$ | $\$ 19,808,569$ | $\$ 47,536,049$ |  |
| Including OneOrlando Fund |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathbf{7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ | $27 \%$ |  | $3 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| International (Global Focus) | Outside the U.S. | U.S. - National | U.S. - Regional (Multi-State) | U.S. - Statewide | U.S. - Local |  |
| $\$ 14,696,231$ | $\$ 30,816,851$ | $\$ 53,884,827$ | $\$ 6,060,245$ | $\$ 19,808,569$ | $\$ 77,046,049$ |  |

Increases and Decreases in Local, State, and Regional LGBTQ Funding, by State

In 2016, local and statewide LGBTQ funding decreased in 28 states and the District of Columbia.


## Notable Changes in 2016

In 2016, funding for trans communities in the United States reached a record high of $\$ 16.8$ million - a 22 percent increase from 2015.

However, funding for LGBTQ issues on the national level decreased by $\$ 7.8$ million - a 12.5 percent decrease from 2015.

Funding for Trans Communities

+20\%

National LGBTQ Funding

2015
\$13,246,083
2016
\$16,976,892


## INTRODUCTION

While each year's tracking report tells a different story, this one is particularly unique. For LGBTQ philanthropy, the year 2016 was one of change, hardship, and inspiration.

It was the first year after the freedom to marry became the law of the land in the United States and saw a sharp rise of anti-LGBTQ bills across the country. 2016 also saw the most violent attack on the LGBTQ community in our history-the massacre at the Pulse Nightclub in Orlando, Florida. This massacre was followed by an outpouring of support from corporations, foundations, and individuals, which ultimately raised more than $\$ 30$ million to support the victims, their families, and the healing and empowerment of all the communities affected. This inspiring giving-much of it channeled through the OneOrlando Fund for direct support of victims and families-was, to our knowledge, the largest single fundraising campaign and philanthropic effort in the history of LGBTQ philanthropy.

This report provides a detailed summary of the scope and character of foundation funding for LGBTQ issues in calendar year 2016. This $15^{\text {th }}$ annual edition of the tracking report captures data on 6,032 grants awarded by 348 foundations, making it the most comprehensive assessment of LGBTQ funding available. Largely buoyed by the massive outpouring of support in the wake of the Pulse tragedy, LGBTQ funding soared to a record high of $\$ 202.3$ million in 2016-a growth of more than $\$ 41$ million or more than 25 percent from 2015, the largest raw year-over-year increase in the history of LBGTQ funding. When the somewhat exceptional case of giving through the OneOrlando Fund is excluded, LGBTQ funding for the year totaled $\$ 172.8$ million-a more modest 6 percent increase over 2015, which is also more consistent with recent year-to-year growth in LGBTQ funding.

In several sections of this year's report, we provide two sets of figures: one that includes funding from the OneOrlando Fund and one that does not. In gathering and analyzing data for this year's report, we recognized that it was crucial to capture and understand the scope of giving in response to the Pulse tragedy, a crucial moment in the history of the LGBTQ movement and LGBTQ philanthropy. At the same time, like much disaster giving, the vast majority of giving related to the Pulse massacre was tightly limited in both scope and time. In particular, all of the OneOrlando's $\$ 29.5$ million in 2016 grantmaking was used to provide much-needed direct financial assistance to survivors and family members of those taken from us by the massacre. Much of this funding also came from individuals inspired to give by the tragedy--giving that is unlikely to repeat in the same way or at the same level in future years. Total funding from OneOrlando in 2016 was also so large (15 percent of all LGBTQ giving for the year) that it easily skews any overall data analysis in a number of categories, such as giving for LGBTQ Latinx communities or addressing violence in LGBTQ communites. Given that, we felt that regularly providing data both with and without OneOrlando Fund was the most accurate and complete way to tell the story of LGBTQ philanthropy in 2016.

The historic rise in LGBTQ funding in 2016 is an inspiring one, and my heart stirs even now as I reflect on the foundation funders and individual donors from around the world who came together to support our community in a moment of tremendous loss and need. In the past year, as we have continued to work with funders and community partners in Orlando, even as dollars have already begun to taper off, it is clear that there is still
much to be done to complete the journey of healing and empowerment for our communities in Orlando and around the world. The inspiring story of how the world came together in a moment of great need for LGBTQ communities is also a reminder of the vast needs and challenges that still face our communities daily, albeit quietly and with less media attention.

As a caveat, remember that this report only includes funding from foundations and corporations-not from individual donors or government agencies-and as such only captures a portion of all giving to LGBTQ issues. Note that the global section of this year's report once again only provides a brief summary, since we will provide more detailed information on funding for LGBTQ issues internationally and outside the U.S. in the Global Resources Report, our biennial report series produced in collaboration with the Global Philanthropy Project. The next edition of that report will cover calendar years 2015-2016 and, as I write this, is in the final phases of production.

It is my hope that this report continues to prove useful to funders, nonprofit leaders, and other stakeholders in identifying trends, gaps, and opportunities for LGBTQ grantmaking. As with all of our research, our goal is to provide accurate and user-friendly data on LGBTQ funding, so as to advance our mission of increasing the scale and impact of LGBTQ philanthropy.

Sincerely,


Ben Francisco Maulbeck
President, Funders for LGBTQ Issues
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## OVERVIEW

In 2016, United States-based foundations and corporations awarded 6,032 grants totaling \$202.3 million to support organizations and programs addressing lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer issues. Both the total dollar amount and the total number of grants are new historic highs for LGBTQ grantmaking. Compared to 2015, LGBTQ funding grew by $\$ 41.6$ million, or an increase of nearly 25 percent-the sharpest rise in LGBTQ funding in the past decade.

Much of the $\$ 41.6$ million increase is related to funds raised and disbursed in response to the Pulse massacre. Specifically, the OneOrlando Fund raised and distributed nearly $\$ 30$ million in 2016 to provide direct financial support for approximately 300 survivors and family members of victims of the shooting. The philanthropic response to the shooting accounts for approximately three-quarters of the year's increase. Much of this funding was time-limited and came from individual donors inspired to give by the tragedy, and is therefore unlikely to be sustained in future years. However, the response to Pulse also engaged a number of corporations and foundations in giving to LGBTQ communities for the first time, some of whom may remain involved in LGBTQ philanthropy in future years.

A more detailed review and analysis of grantmaking related to the Pulse shooting is provided in the special spotlight section on page 6.

Without the new funding related to the Orlando relief effort, LGBTQ grantmaking grew by about $\$ 12.1$ million, or 7.5 percent-a more modest increase that is similar to the growth of the prior year. This increase was largely due to a number of long-standing, leading LGBTQ funders increasing their total annual LGBTQ grantmaking. Specifically, the Arcus Foundation, Astraea Lesbian Foundation for Justice, the Evelyn and Walter Haas, Jr. Fund, and anonymous funders each increased their LGBTQ funding by $\$ 1$ million to $\$ 5$ million. Several funders that had previously decreased their LGBTQ funding saw a resurged increase in their total LGBTQ funding in 2016: the Ford Foundation, Gilead, and Open Society Foundations each increased their LGBTQ funding by amounts ranging from $\$ 1.5$ million to $\$ 5.4$ million.
These increases were offset by a handful of notable declines. In particular, amfAR decreased its LGBTQ funding by more than $\$ 1.6$ million, a result of the closure of its grantmaking portfolio focused on gay men and transgender people.

## Total Annual LGBTQ Grant Dollars, 2006-2016

Foundation funding for LGBTQ issues reached a record high of $\$ 202.3$ million in 2016, at a significantly increased rate of growth of 25 percent.


## THE PHILANTHROPIC RESPONSE TO THE PULSE TRAGEDY

On June 12, 2016, a gunman attacked Pulse Nightclub, a gay club in Orlando, Florida, taking 49 lives, wounding 68 others, and forever changing the lives of countless more. It was Latin night, and the majority of victims and survivors were LGBTQ and Latinx.

In the days and months that followed, a variety of institutions mobilized in response to the tragedy. Governments, individuals, foundations, and corporations all raised funds and moved resources to address several immediate and long-term needs: direct assistance for survivors and victims' families; mental health services and other social services; and the long-term healing and empowerment of the LGBTQ and Latinx communities most affected by the tragedy. By the end of the year, more than $\$ 30$ million had been raised in response to the tragedy-the largest fundraising campaign in history for a cause related to LGBTQ communities. The scale of resources mobilized was so large that it helped fuel a record-breaking 25 percent increase in the year's total overall LGBTQ grantmaking. This special section is intended to provide a brief overview of the resources raised, the philanthropic vehicles used, the funders that contributed, and the ongoing challenges and gaps that the tragedy brought to light.

## Direct Support for Survivors and Victims' Families

Within hours of the news of the Pulse shooting, people around the world were moved to generously give in response. Over the course of the following weeks and months, several vehicles were established to channel financial assistance directly to the survivors and the families of the victims, with various nonprofits, agencies, and funders playing key roles in facilitating the flow of resources.

- Several fundraising campaigns were launched on GoFundMe and other online crowdsourcing platforms. Most notable, Equality Florida Education Fund, the LGBTQ advocacy organization for the state of Florida, established a GoFundMe campaign that ultimately raised more than $\$ 11$ million. Pulse of Orlando, the GLBT Center of Central Florida, and other organizations and individuals established similar campaigns.
- The City of Orlando established the OneOrlando Fund, which was housed at the 501(c)(3) organization known as Strengthen Orlando and became the primary vehicle for raising funds for direct support of the survivors and the victims' families. Nearly all dollars raised in the immediate aftermath of the Pulse massacre were devoted to direct assistance, made possible by generous donations from thousands of donors from around the world. In an effort to streamline the distribution process, the funds raised by Equality Florida and other GoFundMe campaigns were ultimately coordinated through the OneOrlando Fund and administered by the National Center for Victims of Crime's National Compassion Fund (more details below). The Fund distributed more than $\$ 29$ million by the end of 2016, followed by additional smaller disbursements in 2017, all of it devoted to supporting nearly 300 individuals who were physically present at the nightclub at the time of the shooting or who lost a loved one to the massacre.
- A number of corporations, including several based in Central Florida, made large contributions to the OneOrlando Fund. Notably among them, Comcast NBCUniversal, Darden Restaurants, the Walt Disney Company, Orlando City Soccer Club Foundation, and Publix Super Markets Charities each gave \$500,000 or more to the Fund.
- The National Center for Victims of Crime (NCVC) a national nonprofit organization with experience managing funds in response to September 11 and other tragic attacks, was contracted to manage the distribution of the funds raised through OneOrlando and other vehicles. Several leading national

LGBTQ funders provided funding to cover NCVC's administrative costs, allowing all other funds raised to be fully devoted to direct financial assistance for survivors and victims' families.

- Orlando Health and Florida Hospital, located a few hundred meters from the Pulse Nightclub, was the hospital that provided emergency medical care for all those injured in the shooting. The hospital waived all medical bills for those wounded that night-including a number of extensive follow-up surgeries-a value of more than $\$ 5.5$ million in healthcare.


## Mental Health and Other Social Services

The Pulse shooting created trauma that took an emotional and physical toll on thousands in the metroOrlando and surrounding communities. This led to a regional mental health crisis and the urgent need for a variety of culturally and linguistically competent mental health services and other social services-both for the survivors and for the wider community affected by the tragedy. Several funders and agencies worked in tandem to address these needs:

- The Heart of Florida United Way, in partnership with the City of Orlando and Orange County Government, created the Orlando United Assistance Center, which became the primary hub for coordinating services and providing case management to help people access a range of services and resources.
- The Central Florida Foundation established the Better Together Fund, which leveraged resources from a number of individual donors, corporations, and foundations. The Fund has played a key role in addressing gaps in social services related to Pulse. For example, the Foundation convened and funded mental health providers, with a focus on improving the area's linguistic and cultural competence. The Fund also provided early gap funding through the United Way, providing direct financial relief for survivors and families in the interim period before OneOrlando Fund funding was disbursed.
- Several local nonprofit organizations and grassroots efforts addressed key needs, including the GLBT Center of Central Florida, Proyecto Somos Orlando,
QLatinx, and Two-Spirit Health Services.
- Government dollars and resources were also brought to bear, with the Department of Justice providing $\$ 8.4$ million and Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) providing $\$ 500,000$ in federal grants to support social services to Pulse-affected communities. The Department of

Justice grant is being coordinated the Florida Attorney General's office, and the SAMHSA grant is being coordinated by the Florida Department of Children and Families and Central Florida Cares, Inc., with the Orlando United Assistance Center serving as lead service provider for both. The City of Orlando fronted the initial funding for delivery of crucial social services while the federal grants were in process. Orange
County also contributed in several ways, including the donation of the space for the Orlando United Assistance Center.

## Community Healing, Education, and Empowerment

Beyond immediate social service needs, the Pulse tragedy also created a need for longer-term community healing. Moreover, the tragedy brought to light the long-standing inequities faced by the region's LGBTQ and Latinx communities and other communities of color. Several local and national funders contributed to efforts to address these challenges through community healing, education, and empowerment:

- In the weeks following the tragedy, Funders for LGBTQ Issues led a philanthropic assessment to elucidate the unique needs and assets of the region's LGBTQ and Latinx communities in the wake of the tragedy. The assessment found that there were a number of strong LGBTQ and Latinx community leaders and burgeoning grassroots efforts, but a lack of formalized nonprofit infrastructure, as well as gaps in culturally competent services and spaces for community-building and empowerment.
- The Arcus Foundation and several other national funders contributed dollars for the establishment of the Contigo Fund, which focused on the unique needs of the region's LGBTQ and Latinx communities in the aftermath of the shooting. Housed at Our
Fund Foundation, the Fund built on the Funders for LGBTQ Issues assessment and developed a grantmaking model driven by a panel of leaders representing the communities most affected by the shooting. The Fund's community-driven and rapid response grantmaking quickly helped seed and grow new organizations such as QLatinx, which works to empower young LGBTQ Latinx communities. Contigo also provided support for various projects working to strengthen movements led by and for LGBTQ Black and immigrant communities, Muslims, those affected by HIV/AIDS, transgender women of color, and other vulnerable populations, so as to build on the transformative work taking root across Central Florida.
- The Better Together Fund, in addition to its support for social services, has provided grants to help foster increased awareness and understanding across differences, particularly in faith communities. In partnership with Contigo, the Fund also supported the OneOrlando Alliance, a new coalition of LGBTQ organizations providing a collective voice for Central Florida's LGBTQ communities.
- The One Pulse Foundation, founded by Pulse owner Barbara Poma, is leading several projects, including the establishment of a permanent memorial at the site of the Pulse Nightclub.

The array of resources deployed in immediate response to the Pulse massacre was staggering, and there was and continues to be an exceptional degree of coordination and collaboration across institutions and sectors. Orlando has demonstrated a number of promising practices for philanthropic responses to violent attacks, such as designating liaisons for communication across key funding institutions and including leaders from most affected communities in decision-making bodies for grants allocation.
Unfortunately, these promising practices are likely to be needed again in the months and years to come. As shootings and natural disasters continue to plague the nation, LGBTQ communities and other vulnerable communities are likely to be explicitly targeted and uniquely affected. The conditions in Central Florida - an under-resourced infrastructure for LGBTQ communities, especially LGBTQ communities of color - are similar to the conditions in many regions across the country. The inspiring philanthropic response to Pulse offers a model both for how to respond to a crisis, and for how funders can help other communities prevent, address, and prepare for the violence and challenges that LGBTQ communities continue to face every day. As public attention moves on from the epicenter of the site of these tragedies and disasters, philanthropy can play a unique and crucial role in sustaining and building systems for healing, transformation, and empowerment.

NOTE: This tracking report focuses only on funding by institutional funders, not individual donors. Much of the giving in response to the Pulse shooting came from individuals, but flowed through institutional vehicles, particularly the Better Together Fund and the OneOrlando Fund, and as a result are tracked in this report. Some foundations and corporations also gave through vehicles such as Better Together, Contigo Fund, and OneOrlando. These grants are also captured in this report, but since these funds were ultimately re-granted, the grant amounts are deducted from totals so as to avoid double-counting, as is done with all re-granting throughout the report.

## TOP GRANTMAKERS

In 2016, the top ten funders of LGBTQ issues awarded nearly $\$ 134.5$ million, up by $\$ 42$ million from 2015 largely due to the $\$ 29.5$ million in funding from Strengthen Orlando - OneOrlando Fund and the $\$ 9.4$ million in funding from Equality Florida Institute. Giving by the top ten funders accounted for 57 percent of all LGBTQ funding in 2016.

The top twenty funders awarded $\$ 146.6$ million, accounting for 74 percent of the year's total. Nine of the top twenty were LGBTQ-specific funders, up from eight in 2015. In 2016, the top twenty list was comprised of nine public foundations, eight private foundations, and three corporate funders.

## TOP 20 FUNDERS OF LGBTQ ISSUES, BY TOTAL DOLLAR AMOUNT¹,2

1 Strengthen Orlando OneOrlando Fund \$29,510,000
Orlando, FL
2 Arcus Foundation \$17,555,936
New York, NY
3 Ford Foundation \$11,815,800
New York, NY
4 Gill Foundation
\$9,827,940
Denver, CO
5 Equality Florida Institute
\$9,445,045
St. Petersburg, FL
6 Open Society
Foundations
\$8,818,097
New York, NY
7 Gilead Sciences
\$7,541,165
Foster City, CA
8 Evelyn and Walter Haas, Jr. Fund
\$6,989,750
San Francisco, CA
9 Elton John AIDS
Foundation
\$5,958,445
New York, NY
10 M.A.C. AIDS Fund \$5,776,857
New York, NY

11 Pride Foundation
\$5,553,409
Seattle, WA
12 Tides Foundation \$5,191,452
San Francisco, CA
13 Astraea Lesbian Foundation for Justice \$4,288,527
New York, NY
14 American Jewish World Service
\$3,809,890
New York, NY
15 H. van Ameringen Foundation
\$3,788,000
New York, NY
16 Foundation for a Just
Society
\$2,405,000
New York, NY
17 Wells Fargo
\$2,286,250
San Francisco, CA
18 The California
Endowment
\$2,190,138
Los Angeles, CA
19 David Bohnett
Foundation
\$2,093,671
Beverly Hills, CA
20 Global Fund for Women \$1,913,530
New York, NY

## TOP 10 FUNDERS OF LGBTQ ISSUES, BY NUMBER OF GRANTS

1 Astraea Lesbian Foundation for Justice
New York, NY
2 Horizons Foundation
305
San Francisco, CA
2 Strengthen Orlando -
OneOrlando Fund
Orlando, FL
4 Pride Foundation
Seattle, WA
5 Our Fund Foundation 217
Fort Lauderdale, FL
6 Tides Foundation 200
San Francisco, CA
7 Arcus Foundation 191 New York, NY

8 M.A.C. AIDS Fund 130 New York, NY

8 Stonewall Community Foundation 130 New York, NY
10 Freedom House
Washington, DC

## @ WORTH NOTING...

Absent the giving from Strengthen Orlando and Equality Florida Institute, the top ten would have collectively awarded $\$ 85$ million, up $\$ 13.8$ million from 2015 and accounting for 43 percent of all LGBTQ funding in 2016 Absent giving from Strengthen Orlando and Equality Florida Institute, the top twenty would include Horizons Foundation and New York Women's Foundation.

[^1]
## TOP GRANT RECIPIENTS

In 2016, the top 20 recipients of LGBTQ funding received a total of $\$ 40.2$ million, accounting for 20 percent of all LGBTQ dollars granted in 2016.
Eighteen of the top 20 grantees are nonprofit organizations focused specifically on LGBTQ issues. ${ }^{3}$ Seventeen of the 20 grant receipts are headquartered in the United States, with seven in California, five in New York City, and three in Washington, DC. For the first time, three top grantees are based outside the United States-CREA in India, ILGAEurope in Belgium, and UHAI in Kenya.

## TOP 20 GRANTEES OF FOUNDATION FUNDING FOR LGBTQ ISSUES (EXCLUDES GRANT DOLLARS INTENDED FOR RE-GRANTING) ${ }^{4}$

1 National LGBTQ Task Force
\$6,243,261
Washington, DC
2 Los Angeles LGBT Center \$3,270,791
Los Angeles, CA
3 National Center for Lesbian Rights (NCLR) \$2,602,500
San Francisco, CA
4 Transgender Law Center
\$2,330,625
Oakland, CA
5 Lambda Legal Defense and Education Fund \$2,124,660
New York, NY
6 OutRight Action International \$2,113,092
New York, NY
7 San Francisco AIDS Foundation
\$2,060,558
San Francisco, CA
8 The East Africa Sexual Health and Rights Initiative (UHAI)
\$1,940,827
Nairobi, Kenya
9 Genders \& Sexualities Alliance Network
\$1,932,800
Oakland, CA
10 SAGE
\$1,868,263
New York, NY

11 Gay and Lesbian Advocates and Defenders (GLAD) \$1,682,300
Boston, MA
12 Horizons Foundation
\$1,539,712
San Francisco, CA
13 ILGA - Europe
\$1,534,859
Brussels, Belgium
14 Equality Federation Institute
\$1,389,400
Portland, OR
15 Freedom for All Americans
\$1,361,000
Washington, DC
16 Astraea Lesbian Foundation for Justice \$1,352,100
New York, NY
17 New York LGBT Center
\$1,256,733
New York, NY
18 Creating Resources for Empowerment and Action (CREA)
\$1,216,132
New Delhi, India
19 Movement Advancement Project (MAP)
\$1,215,000
Denver, CO
20 Center for the Study of Social Policy
\$1,200,000
Washington, DC

[^2]
## SOURCES OF LGBTQ FUNDING

In 2016, nearly all funder categories increased their support of LGBTQ issues. In particular, funding from public foundations (both LGBTQ-focused and non-LGBTQ-focused) and corporations all saw sharp increases ranging from 40 percent to more than 100 percent.

Large portions of these sharp increases were the result of giving related to the Orlando tragedy. The increase in giving by non-LGBTQ public funders was driven largely by the OneOrlando Fund, which was housed at Strengthen Orlando, a nonprofit that raises funds and support projects related to the City of Orlando. The increase from LGBTQ public funders is driven largely by Equality Florida, the state's LGBTQ advocacy organization, which helped raise more than $\$ 9$ million that was ultimately channelled through the OneOrlando Fund. Similarly, the rise in corporate giving is a reflection of the large gifts made by several corporations to recovery efforts such as the OneOrlando Fund or the Central Florida Foundation's Better Together Fund. When giving from and through the OneOrlando Fund is excluded, giving by corporations and non-LGBTQ public funders still experienced growth, but at much more modest levels. Giving by non-LGBTQ public funders other than OneOrlando Fund decreased slightly.

Giving by private foundations-both LGBTQ and non-LGBTQ focused-rose significantly, largely unrelated to the philanthropic response to Pulse. Community foundations were the only funder type that experienced an overall decrease in 2016, dropping by about $\$ 1.2$ million or 14 percent.

Due to the large amount of giving for Pulse through public funding vehicles, public foundation funding nearly equalled private foundation funding for LGBTQ issues for the first time in years. When giving related to the OneOrlando Fund is excluded, private foundations again accounted for the largest portion of dollars-about $\$ 88.4$ million or 45 percent of the total.

As in prior years, a large share of funding was driven by private and community foundations founded and driven by LGBTQ donors and leaders. Excluding giving related to OneOrlando, about $\$ 65$ million, or approximately onethird of the year's LGBTQ grantmaking, was provided by these types of LGBTQ foundations.

Sources of LGBTQ Grant Dollars by Funder Type ${ }^{5}$

| 2016 (excluding OneOrlando Fund) | 2016 (including OneOrlando Fund) | 2015 | 2016 (excluding OneOrlando Fund) | 2016 (including OneOrlando Fund) | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14\% | 11\% | 13\% | Anonymous Funders \$27,013,706 <br> 14\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$27,013,706 } \\ & \text { 11\% } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$21,920,979 } \\ & 13 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 11\% | 11\% | 9\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Community Foundatic } \\ & \$ 6,853,988 \\ & 4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 6,863,988 \\ & 3 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$8,356,079 } \\ & 5 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 24\% | 20\% | 23\% | Corporate Funders $\$ 20,449,310$ <br> 11\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$25,905,958 } \\ & 11 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$16,546,819 } \\ & 9 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 18\% | 22\% | Non-LGBTQ Private F $\$ 46,582,150$ $24 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Indations } \\ & \$ 46,582,150 \\ & 20 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$40,326,317 } \\ & 23 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 22\% | 14\% |  | LGBTQ Private Found $\$ 41,817,405$ $22 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ions } \\ & \$ 41,817,405 \\ & 18 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$38,633,538 } \\ & 22 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 12\% | 24\% | 14\% | LGBTQ Public Found $\$ 24,146,411$ $12 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 33,591,456 \\ & 14 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$23,678,677 } \\ & 14 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 14\% |  | 14\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-LGBTQ Public Fo } \\ & \$ 27,410,044 \\ & 14 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ndations } \\ & \$ 56,920,044 \\ & 24 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$25,284,931 } \\ & 14 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | Total |  |  |

[^3]
## GEOGRAPHIC FOCUS

In 2016, about 78 percent of funding focused on LGBTQ communities in the U.S., and about 23 percent focused on LGBTQ issues globally or outside the U.S. When giving by the OneOrlando Fund is not included, grantmaking for LGBTQ issues internationally and outside the U.S. accounted for one-quarter of the total, larger than the 2015 share of 19 percent.

Domestically, funding for national level work decreased, from $\$ 61.7$ million to $\$ 53.8$ million, a 12-percent decrease. All other categories of geographic focus saw notable increases. Funding for work at the local level increased dramatically, from $\$ 43.6$ million to $\$ 77.0$ million-a 77 -percent increase. Much of this rise was due to OneOrlando Fund giving, which was focused on direct relief to victims and families in Orlando in the wake of the Pulse tragedy. When that giving is not included, locally focused funding rose by a more modest $\$ 3.9$ million, or nine percent. Funding for state-level work rose by eight percent and for regional work rose by 20 percent.

## Distribution of LGBTQ Grant Dollars by Geographic Focus

| 2016 (excluding OneOrlando Fund) | 2016 (including OneOrlando Fund) | 2015 | 2016 (excluding OneOrlando Fund) | 2016 (including OneOrlando Fund) | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9\% | 7\% | 6\% | International (Global Focus) |  |  |
| 18\% | 15\% | 13\% | 9\% | 7\% | 6\% |
| 18\% | 27\% | 38\% | Outside the U.S. <br> \$30,816,851 <br> 18\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$30,816,851 } \\ & 15 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$21,462,451 } \\ & \text { 13\% } \end{aligned}$ |
| 31\% |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. - National } \\ & \$ 53,884,827 \\ & 31 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$53,884,827 } \\ & 27 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$61,689,255 } \\ & 38 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 10\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. - Regional (Mu } \\ & \$ 6,060,245 \\ & 4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ate) } \\ & \$ 6,060,245 \\ & 3 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,013,334 \\ & 3 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 11\% | 39\% | 12\% | U.S. - Statewide <br> \$19,808,569 <br> 11\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$19,808,569 } \\ & 10 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$18,781,617 } \\ & \text { 12\% } \end{aligned}$ |
| 28\% |  | 27\% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. - Local } \\ & \$ 47,536,049 \\ & 28 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$77,046,049 } \\ & 39 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 43,636,429 \\ & 27 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | Total $\$ 172,802,772$ | \$202,312,772 | \$160,702,984 |

[^4]
## TYPE OF SUPPORT

Funding for program or project specific support was the most common type of support in 2016, accounting for 43 percent of all funding.

In 2016, direct victim support totaled \$29.5 million, or 15 percent of funding. This category of funding was added to the 2016 report taxonomy to account for the unique nature of the funds distributed to the survivors and families of victims of the Orlando tragedy.

Funding for capacity building increased from 2 percent of funding in 2015 to 5 percent in 2016.

The "other" category captured capital support, corporate matching gifts, emergency funding, endowment support, matching grants, prizes or awards, seed funding, and sponsorships.

Consistent with the 2015 report, a greater number of grants were awarded as general operating support. In 2016, 2,250 grants (33 percent) were awarded as general operating support compared to 2,083 grants (43 percent) awarded as program support.

Distribution of LGBTQ Grant Dollars by Type of Support (With Strengthen Orlando included)


## POPULATION FOCUS

The vast majority of LGBTQ grants in 2016, over $\$ 169$ million or $83 \%$ of funding, targeted the LGBTQ community broadly. The data below looks at grants that specifically supported one segment of the LGBTQ community.

Trans funding climbed from a record high of $\$ 18.2$ million in 2015 to $\$ 22.4$ million in 2016.
Distribution of LGBTQ Grant Dollars by Sexual Orientation, Gender Identity, and Sex Characteristics


20162015
Lesbians/Queer Women
\$4,029,117 \$4,268,656

2\% 3\%
Gay Men/Queer Men/MSM

| $\$ 9,126,551$ | $\$ 9,498,135$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ |

Bisexual People
$\$ 300 \quad \$ 485,415$
<1\% <1\%
Transgender People
\$22,434,839 \$18,198,964
11\% 11\%
Intersex People
\$1,362,156 \$519,530
1\% 2\%
$=\$ 250,000$

## STRATEGIES FUNDED

Consistent with previous years, advocacy was again the most funded strategy in 2016, with nearly 40 percent of LGBTQ funding supporting advocacy work.

In 2016, the victim support category was added to capture the nearly $\$ 30$ million in funding to support the families of victims and survivors of the Orlando massacre.

## Detailed Breakdown of Strategies Funded

| Strategy | 2016 Funding | \% | 2015 Funding | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Advocacy | \$77,999,796 | 39\% | \$71,589,817 | 45\% |
| Advocacy (General) | \$39,631,010 | 20\% | \$34,547,582 | 22\% |
| Community Organizing | \$12,447,844 | 6\% | \$12,793.450 | 8\% |
| Intergovernmental Advocacy | \$5,000 | <1\% | 0 | 0 |
| Litigation | \$11,476,421 | 6\% | \$11,025,515 | 7\% |
| Public Education | \$14,439,522 | 7\% | \$13,223,269 | 8\% |
| Capacity-Building and Training | \$23,429,941 | 11\% | \$14,503,204 | 9\% |
| Conferences/Seminars/Travel Grants | \$1,865,648 | 1\% | \$1,577,723 | 1\% |
| Leadership Development | \$8,451,762 | 4\% | \$4,828,499 | 3\% |
| Organizational Capacity Building | \$8,142,294 | 4\% | \$4,680,208 | 3\% |
| Training/Technical Assistance | \$4,970,237 | 2\% | \$3,416,774 | 2\% |
| Culture and Media | \$9,607,592 | 5\% | \$7,891,853 | 5\% |
| Culture | \$7,363,164 | 4\% | \$5,734,969 | 4\% |
| Electronic Media/Online Services | \$749,640 | <1\% | \$355,785 | <1\% |
| Film/Video/Radio | \$1,494,788 | 1\% | \$1,801,100 | 1\% |
| Direct Service | \$30,864,852 | 15\% | \$35,735,035 | 22\% |
| Philanthropy and Fundraising | \$14,119,724 | 7\% | \$10,733,911 | 7\% |
| Fundraising Event | \$1,166,645 | 1\% | \$611,434 | <1\% |
| Matching Grant | \$10,250 | <1\% | 0 | 0 |
| Philanthropy | \$12,942,830 | 6\% | \$10,122,477 | 6\% |
| Research | \$11,155,615 | 6\% | \$12,216,981 | 8\% |
| Victim Support | \$29,510,000 | 15\% | 0 | 0 |
| Other | \$5,625,252 | 3\% | \$6,937,227 | 5\% |
| Multi-Strategy | \$5,118,891 | 3\% | \$6,937,227 | 4\% |
| Other | \$506,361 | <1\% | \$1,094,955 | 1\% |
| Total | \$202,312,772 |  | \$160,702,984 |  |

## ISSUES ADDRESSED

Civil and human rights issues continued to garner the largest share of funding in 2016, accounting for over 40\% of all LGBTQ funding. While most other issues saw relatively consistent funding from 2015 figures, funding for violence, homophobia, and transphobia increased from just over $\$ 2$ million in 2015 to $\$ 31.9$ million in 2016. This increase is due almost entirely to funding related to the Orlando massacre.

Breakdown of Issues Addressed

| Issue | 2016 Funding | $\%$ | 2015 Funding | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Civil and Human Rights | $\$ 89,502,347$ | $44 \%$ | $\$ 73,920,970$ | $46 \%$ |
| Violence, Homophobia, and Transphobia | $\$ 31,900,337$ | $16 \%$ | $\$ 2,304,946$ | $1 \%$ |
| Health and Wellbeing | $\$ 30,985,113$ | $15 \%$ | $\$ 38,116,260$ | $24 \%$ |
| Strengthening Communities, Families, and Visibility | $\$ 28,405,924$ | $14 \%$ | $\$ 25,329,263$ | $16 \%$ |
| Economic Issues | $\$ 6,216,616$ | $3 \%$ | $\$ 5,685,532$ | $4 \%$ |
| Education and Safe Schools | $\$ 6,132,996$ | $3 \%$ | $\$ 7,790,578$ | $5 \%$ |
| Other Issues | $\$ 9,169,439$ | $5 \%$ | $\$ 7,555,435$ | $5 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 2 , 3 1 2 , 7 7 2}$ |  | $\mathbf{\$ 1 6 0 , 7 0 2 , 9 8 4}$ |  |

# DOMESTIC FUNDING OF LGBTQ ISSUES 

In 2016, funding for LGBTQ issues in the United States reached a record high of $\$ 156.8$ million, up from $\$ 129.1$ million in 2015. Without the funding from the OneOrlando Fund, funding for LGBTQ issues in the United States reached $\$ 127.2$ million-a slight decrease from 2015. With dollars for re-granting included, total domestic funding was $\$ 187.6$ million.

## TOP 10 DOMESTIC FUNDERS ${ }^{6}$

1 Strengthen Orlando
\$29,510,000
Orlando, FL
2 Arcus Foundation
\$10,128,245
New York, NY
3 Gill Foundation
\$9,827,940
Denver, CO
4 Equality Florida Institute
\$9,445,045
St. Petersburg, FL
5 Ford Foundation
\$8,542,000
New York, NY
6 Evelyn and Walter Haas, Jr. Fund \$6,989,750
San Francisco, CA
7 Gilead Sciences
\$5,557,672
Foster City, CA
8 Elton John AIDS Foundation
\$5,466,312
New York, NY
9 Pride Foundation
\$5,390,896
Seattle, WA
10 H. van Ameringen Foundation
\$3,758,000
New York, NY

Local and statewide funding also reached a record high of $\$ 102.9$ million, up from $\$ 67$ million in 2014 . Without the funding from the OneOrlando Fund, local and statewide funding still reached a record high of $\$ 73.4$ million.

## TOP 10 DOMESTIC GRANTEES7

1 National LGBTQ Task Force
\$6,243,261
Washington, DC
2 Los Angeles LGBT Center \$3,270,791 Los Angeles, CA

3 National Center for Lesbian Rights (NCLR) \$2,602,500
San Francisco, CA
4 Transgender Law Center
\$2,330,625
Oakland, CA
5 Lambda Legal Defense and Education Fund \$2,124,660
New York, NY
6 San Francisco AIDS Foundation \$2,060,558
San Francisco, CA
7 Genders \& Sexualities Alliance Network \$1,932,800
Oakland, CA
8 SAGE
\$1,868,263
New York, NY
9 Gay and Lesbian Advocates and Defenders (GLAD) \$1,682,300
Boston, MA
10 Horizons Foundation
\$1,539,712
San Francisco, CA

[^5]TOP 10 FUNDERS OF LOCAL AND STATE-LEVEL WORK8

1 Strengthen Orlando
\$29,510,000
Orlando, FL
2 Equality Florida
\$9,445,045
St. Petersburg, FL
3 Gill Foundation
\$5,290,633
Denver, CO
4 Evelyn and Walter Haas, Jr. Fund \$3,634,000
San Francisco, CA
5 Elton John AIDS Foundation
\$3,533,812
New York, NY
6 Gilead Sciences
\$2,933,465
Foster City, CA
7 Tides Foundation
\$2,774,430
San Francisco, CA
8 Arcus Foundation
\$2,312,500
New York, NY
9 M.A.C. AIDS Fund
\$2,104,326
New York, NY
10 The California Endowment
\$2,098,138
Los Angeles, CA

## TOP 10 LOCAL AND STATE-LEVEL GRANTEES${ }^{9}$

1 Los Angeles LGBT Center
\$3,270,791
Los Angeles, CA
2 San Francisco AIDS Foundation \$2,060,558
San Francisco, CA
3 Gay and Lesbian Advocates and Defenders (GLAD) \$1,432,300
Boston, MA
4 Freedom for All Americans \$1,361,000
Washington, DC
5 New York LGBT Center
\$1,256,733
New York, NY
6 Equality California Institute
\$1,150,750
Los Angeles, CA
7 Equality Federation Institute
\$1,137,000
Portland, OR
8 GMHC
\$1,117,047
New York, NY
9 Hetrick-Martin Institute (HMI) \$973,350
New York, NY
10 Howard Brown Health Center \$859,234
Chicago, IL

[^6]
## LOCAL, STATE, AND REGIONAL FUNDING OF LGBTQ ISSUES

Funding for local, statewide, and regional LGBTQ work in the United States reached a record high of $\$ 102.9$ million in 2016-up from $\$ 67$ million in 2015. Excluding the grants for individuals provided through the OneOrlando Fund, funding for local, statewide, and regional LGBTQ work in the United States still reached $\$ 73.4$ million.
With the OneOrlando Fund giving, the South is by far the most funded region at $\$ 47.4$ million. Without the OneOrlando Fund giving, the South was the third most funded region, posting a modest 4 percent increase to $\$ 17.9$ million.

Excluding the OneOrlando Fund grantmaking, the Pacific region received the largest share of grant dollars at $\$ 21.3$ million, benefiting from a 15 percent increase. The Northeast region, which had long received the largest share of grant dollars, saw a modest 1 percent decrease in funding and dropped to $\$ 18.6$ million. The Midwest experienced a 6 percent decrease to $\$ 8.5$ million, and the Mountain region experienced a 12 percent decrease to $\$ 3.3$ million.
In 2016, funding for Puerto Rico increased by 77 percent to \$195,000.

With OneOrlando Fund grantmaking, Florida is the most funded state ever-receiving $\$ 34.3$ million. Without the

OneOrlando Fund giving, Florida drops down to the third most funded state at $\$ 4.8$ million, exceeded only by California and New York.

Funding in California increased to $\$ 16.9$ million from $\$ 15.3$ million in 2015. This $\$ 1.6$-million increase means that California experienced the largest growth in funding of any state that year. Funding in New York decreased to $\$ 10.9$ million from $\$ 12$ million in 2015 . The state witnessed the biggest decrease in 2016, a $\$ 1.2$ million decrease. Nevertheless, excluding OneOrlando Fund giving, these two were the only states to receive more than $\$ 5$ million in funding for local, statewide, or regional LGBTQ work.

In 2016, 28 states and the District of Columbia experienced a decrease in funding for local, statewide, and regional work. Only 13 states and the District of Columbia each received more than $\$ 1$ million in LGBTQ funding-with Colorado and Ohio dropping below \$1 million since 2015. No new states joined the "million dollar club."

There were three states where we could not identify any LGBTQ funding in 2016: Delaware, Kansas, and Wyoming.

Local, State, and Regional LGBTQ Funding, By Region

| Region | 2016 | 2015 | Percent Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Midwest | \$8,483,928 | \$9,022,987 | V 6\% |
| Mountain | \$3,321,748 | \$3,739,348 | v 12\% |
| Northeast | \$18,630,833 | \$18,806,607 | v 1\% |
| Pacific | \$21,341,571 | \$18,517,475 | - 15\% |
| South (Including OneOrlando Fund) | \$47,392,284 | \$17,165,964 | - $176 \%$ |
| South (Not Including OneOrlando Fund) | \$17,882,284 | \$17,165,964 | - $4 \%$ |
| U.S. Territories (Puerto Rico) | \$195,000 | \$110,000 | - $77 \%$ |
| Multi-Region/Unspecified | \$3,548,000 | \$69,500 | - 4971\% |
| Total (Including OneOrlando Fund) | \$102,914,863 | \$67,431,880 | - 53\% |
| Total (Not Including OneOrlando Fund) | \$73,404,863 | \$67,431,880 | - 9\% |

## Local, State, and Regional Funding of LGBTQ Issues, by State (Density Map)



| Midwest | $\mathbf{\$ 8 , 4 8 3 , 9 2 8}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Illinois | $\$ 3,490,607$ |
| Indiana | $\$ 289,855$ |
| Iowa | $\$ 7,500$ |
| Kansas | 0 |
| Michigan | $\$ 1,194,705$ |
| Minnesota | $\$ 1,383,328$ |
| Missouri | $\$ 159,513$ |
| Nebraska | $\$ 4,500$ |
| North Dakota | $\$ 623,695$ |
| Ohio | $\$ 6,000$ |
| South Dakota | $\$ 412,975$ |
| Wisconsin | $\$ 906,250$ |
| Midwest Region (General) |  |


| Northeast | $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 , 6 3 0 , 8 3 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Connecticut | $\$ 206,270$ |


| South (Including OneOrlando Fund) | \$47,392,284 |
| :---: | :---: |
| South (Not Including OneOrlando Fund) | \$17,882,284 |
| Alabama | \$357,550 |
| Arkansas | \$118,250 |
| Florida (Including OneOrlando Fund) | \$34,211,819 |
| Florida (Not Including OneOrlando Fund) | \$4,701,819 |
| Georgia | \$2,216,240 |
| Kentucky | \$194,250 |
| Louisiana | \$1,127,405 |
| Mississippi | \$857,000 |
| North Carolina | \$2,016,112 |
| Oklahoma | \$208,750 |
| South Carolina | \$167,500 |
| Tennessee | \$332,600 |
| Texas | \$2,161,906 |
| Virginia | \$414,226 |
| West Virginia | \$43,312 |
| South Region (General) | \$2,965,364 |
| Total (Including OneOrlando Fund) | \$102,899,463 |
| Total (Not Including OneOrlando Fund) | \$73,404,863 |

[^7]
## FUNDING PER LGBT ADULT

Our metric of GDQ, or "Grant Dollars per Queer," analyzes the total local and statewide LGBTQ grant dollars awarded per state or region divided by the estimated number of adults in said state or region who identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual, or transgender. The goal of the GDQ is to assess the level of funding for each state relative to its population.

In 2016, the overall GDQ increased to $\$ 10.42$ if you include OneOrlando Fund grantmaking or $\$ 7.43$ if you exclude OneOrlando Fund grantmaking, up from \$6.78 in 2015.

While the average GDQ increased, the median GDQ decreased. In 2016, the median GDQ for the 50 states and the District of Columbia was $\$ 2.92$, down from $\$ 3.28$ in 2015. It is the lowest median GDQ since 2012, when the median was $\$ 2.06$.

In 2016, 21 states saw their GDQ increase, while the other 29 states and the District of Columbia witnessed a decrease in GDQ.

When including OneOrlando Fund grantmaking, Florida experienced the largest GDQ increase, with a $\$ 43.39$ increase bringing it to a record high GDQ of $\$ 49.47$. If you exclude OneOrlando Fund grantmaking, Florida
had a much more modest $\$ 0.72$ increase in GDQ to \$6.80. Excluding OneOrlando Fund grantmaking, North Carolina had the biggest increase in GDQ, with a $\$ 3.45$ increase bringing it to a GDQ of $\$ 7.34$.

If you exclude OneOrlando Fund grantmaking, the District of Columbia led the pack once again. Despite experiencing a $\$ 3.46$ decrease in GDQ, the District of Columbia still had a GDQ of $\$ 36.44$. New York followed with a GDQ of $\$ 15.60$, despite a $\$ 1.59$ decrease in its GDQ. If you exclude OneOrlando Fund grantmaking, New York has the highest GDQ of any state. If you exclude OneOrlando Fund grantmaking, it is also the only state with a GDQ over \$15-just as it was in 2015.

Excluding OneOrlando Fund grantmaking, 4 states had GDQs over \$10-down from the high of 7 states in 2013. Beyond New York, the states were California (\$11.46), Mississippi (\$11.81), and New Mexico (\$10.11).

Including OneOrlando Fund grantmaking, the South achieved the highest GDQ of any region at $\$ 14.96$. Excluding OneOrlando Fund grantmaking, the Pacific had the highest GDQ at $\$ 10.92$.

LGBTQ Funding per LGBT Adult, by State


## LGBTQ Funding per LGBT Adult, by State

| Midwest | \$4.38 | South (Including OneOrlando Fund) | \$14.96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Illinois | \$9.06 | South (Not Including OneOrlando Fund) | \$5.64 |
| Indiana | \$1.40 | Alabama | \$3.16 |
| lowa | \$0.10 | Arkansas | \$1.73 |
| Kansas | \$0 | Florida (Including OneOrlando Fund) | \$49.47 |
| Michigan | \$4.06 | Florida (Not Including OneOrlando Fund) | \$6.80 |
| Minnesota | \$8.17 | Georgia | \$7.10 |
| Missouri | \$1.00 | Kentucky | \$1.72 |
| Nebraska | \$0.09 | Louisiana | \$8.54 |
| North Dakota | \$0.32 | Mississippi | \$11.81 |
| Ohio | \$1.82 | North Carolina | \$7.34 |
| South Dakota | \$0.46 | Oklahoma | \$2.01 |
| Wisconsin | \$2.70 | South Carolina | \$1.45 |
|  |  | Tennessee | \$2.08 |
| Mountain | \$4.64 | Texas | \$2.92 |
| Arizona | \$4.68 | Virginia | \$1.86 |
| Colorado | \$4.63 | West Virginia | \$0.87 |
| Idaho | \$1.71 |  |  |
| Montana | \$8.20 | Northeast | \$8.86 |
| Nevada | \$2.13 | Connecticut | \$2.09 |
| New Mexico | \$10.11 | Delaware | \$0 |
| Utah | \$4.28 | District of Columbia | \$36.44 |
| Wyoming | \$0 | Maine | \$0.24 |
|  |  | Maryland | \$3.06 |
| Pacific | \$10.92 | Massachusetts | \$5.95 |
| Alaska | \$3.02 | New Hampshire | \$0.03 |
| California | \$11.46 | New Jersey | \$1.54 |
| Hawaii | \$0.93 | New York | \$15.60 |
| Oregon | \$9.71 | Pennsylvania | \$3.60 |
| Washington | \$6.82 | Rhode Island | \$7.87 |
|  |  | Vermont | \$6.20 |

LGBTQ Funding, by Region

| Region | 2016 | 2015 | Percent Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Midwest | \$4.38 | \$4.66 | V 6\% |
| Mountain | \$4.64 | \$5.13 | v $10 \%$ |
| Northeast | \$8.86 | \$8.94 | v 1\% |
| Pacific | \$10.92 | \$9.30 | - 17\% |
| South (Including OneOrlando Fund) | \$14.96 | \$5.42 | - 176\% |
| South (Not Including OneOrlando Fund) | \$5.64 | \$5.42 | - $4 \%$ |
| National Average (Including OneOrlando Fund) | \$10.42 | \$6.78 | - 54\% |
| National Average (Not Including OneOrlando Fund) | \$7.43 | \$6.78 | - 10\% |

## ISSUES ADDRESSED IN DOMESTIC FUNDING

The Pulse Nightclub Massacre in Orlando and subsequent philanthropic giving resulted in a massive increase in grantmaking addressing violence, homophobia, biphobia, and transphobia. The \$29.5 million awarded by the OneOrlando Fund to support the victims of this violent attack helped make the issue area the second most funded after Civil Rights, whereas for the past few years it was the least-funded issue area.

If you exclude funding awarded by the OneOrlando Fund, funding to address violence, homophobia, biphobia, and transphobia would only total $\$ 2,054,421$-making it the least funded issue area.

While civil rights remained the most funded issue area, it saw a modest \$370,000 decrease. Funding for health and wellbeing became the third most funded issue area, experiencing a more significant $\$ 2.7$ million decrease.

Detailed Breakdown of Issues Addressed in Domestic Funding

| Issue | 2016 | \% | 2015 | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Civil Rights | \$55,254,503 | 35\% | \$55,885,884 | 43\% |
| Civil Rights (General) | \$32,709,734 | 21\% | \$31,625,177 | 24\% |
| Criminalization and Criminal Justice Reform | \$3,686,398 | 2\% | \$5,107,729 | 4\% |
| Gender Identity Rights | \$6,310,272 | 4\% | \$3,595,965 | 3\% |
| Immigration and Refugee Issues | \$2,844,901 | 2\% | \$1,874,879 | 1\% |
| Marriage and Civil Unions | \$4,100 | <1\% | \$4,029,050 | 3\% |
| Military Inclusion | \$821,053 | 1\% | \$845,523 | 1\% |
| Nondiscrimination Protections | \$7,422,833 | 5\% | \$4,104,330 | 3\% |
| Religious Exemptions | \$460,200 | $<1 \%$ | \$2,894,300 | 2\% |
| Sexual and Reproductive Rights/Justice | \$992,012 | 1\% | \$1,808,930 | 1\% |
| Violence, Homophobia, Biphobia, and Transphobia | \$31,564,421 | 20\% | \$1,692,549 | 1\% |
| Anti-Violence | \$30,610,939 | 19\% | \$799,829 | 1\% |
| Gun Control | \$26,500 | <1\% | \$140,000 | <1\% |
| Homophobia, Biphobia, and Transphobia | \$926,982 | 1\% | \$752,720 | 1\% |
| Health and Wellbeing | \$25,612,314 | 16\% | \$28,317,586 | 22\% |
| Cancer | \$602,497 | <1\% | \$413,195 | <1\% |
| Cultural Competence and Data Collection | \$1,245,916 | 1\% | \$1,604,178 | 1\% |
| General Health Services and Health Promotion | \$5,908,916 | 4\% | \$6,340,213 | 5\% |
| HIV/AIDS | \$15,912,711 | 10\% | \$15,764,517 | 12\% |
| Insurance Coverage | \$95,000 | <1\% | \$1,840,472 | 1\% |
| Mental Health, Substance Abuse, and Suicide Prevention | \$1,512,605 | 1\% | \$1,491,928 | 1\% |
| Primary Care | \$130,478 | <1\% | \$449,330 | <1\% |
| Sexual and Reproductive Health | \$204,191 | <1\% | \$413,752 | <1\% |
| Community, Families, and Visibility | \$24,996,864 | 16\% | \$23,565,219 | 18\% |
| Community Building and Empowerment | \$12,080,027 | 8\% | \$9,695,970 | 8\% |
| Religion | \$1,882,940 | 1\% | \$3,396,694 | 3\% |
| Strengthening Families | \$2,502,577 | 2\% | \$1,947,477 | 2\% |
| Visibility | \$8,481,320 | 5\% | \$8,525,077 | 7\% |

## Detailed Breakdown of Issues Addressed in Domestic Funding (cont.)

| Education and Safe Schools | \$6,105,406 | 4\% | \$7,644,482 | 6\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Education | \$2,879,025 | 2\% | \$2,855,157 | 2\% |
| Safe Schools | \$3,226,381 | 2\% | \$4,789,325 | 4\% |
| Economic Issues | \$6,028,559 | 4\% | \$5,635,532 | 4\% |
| Food Security | \$531,800 | <1\% | \$146,052 | <1\% |
| Housing and Homelessness | \$4,105,736 | 3\% | \$3,723,530 | 3\% |
| Labor and Employment | \$1,391,023 | 1\% | \$1,765,950 | 1\% |
| Other Issues | \$7,237,623 | 5\% | \$6,379,385 | 5\% |
| Multi-Issue | \$2,938,478 | 2\% | \$3,420,867 | 3\% |
| Philanthropy | \$4,299,145 | 3\% | \$2,957,018 | 2\% |
| Unspecified | \$0 | 0\% | \$1,500 | <1\% |
| Total | \$156,799,690 |  | \$129,120,635 |  |

## DOMESTIC POPULATION FOCUS

As in previous years, the vast majority of domestic grant dollars were awarded to organizations and programs that serve LGBTQ people generally. Only 18 percent of grant dollars singled out a specific segment of the LGBTQ population.
Funding for trans communities in the United States reached a record high of $\$ 16.9$ millionan increase of nearly 22 percent.

Domestic funding for bisexuals dropped to $\$ 300$. This is largely due to grantmaking by the American Institute of Bisexuality, the largest funder of bisexual issues, not being available for this year's report. The dramatic drop reveals how few funders explicitly support bisexual issues.

Distribution of Domestic Grant Dollars by Sexual Orientation, Gender Identity, and Sex Characteristics


In 2016 there was a major increase in funding for communities for color. Specifically, 2016 saw a dramatic increase in funding for Latinx communities, driven by the philanthropic response to the Pulse shooting. If you include funding from the OneOrlando Fund, funding for communities of color reached a record high of $\$ 49.5$ million.

If you exclude funding from the OneOrlando Fund, funding for communities of color totaled $\$ 20$ million, up from $\$ 18.6$ million in 2015. All communities saw modest increases.

Excluding funding from the OneOrlando Fund, the top ten funders of communities of color were: Gilead Sciences, Arcus Foundation, Elton John AIDS Foundation, Borealis Philanthropy, ViiV Healthcare, Astraea Lesbian Foundation for Justice, Anonymous Donors, the New York Women's Foundation, H. van Ameringen Foundation, and the Evelyn and Walter Haas, Jr, Fund. Together they awarded \$11.5 million, or 57 percent of all funding for LGBTQ communities of color excluding OneOrlando Fund grantmaking.

Excluding funding from the OneOrlando Fund, HIV/AIDS was the most funded issue. Twenty-five percent of all funding for LGBTQ communities of color focused on HIV/AIDS.

Distribution of Domestic Grant Dollars Among People of Color


When including the OneOrlando Fund grantmaking, survivors of violence become the most funded other population at $\$ 30.9$ million, up from $\$ 600,000$ in 2015 . If you exclude the OneOrlando Fund grantmaking, funding for survivors of violence still more than doubled to $\$ 1.3$ million.

Funding for children and youth, which is historically the most funded subpopulation, continued to decline in 2016 to $\$ 20.1$ million. That is down from $\$ 21.6$ million in 2015 and is the lowest level of funding for children and youth since 2011.

While most populations experienced decreases in funding, homeless and marginally housed people experienced a $\$ 600,000$ or 19 percent increase, immigrants and refugees experienced a $\$ 1.4$ million increase, people living with HIV/AIDS experienced a $\$ 1.5$ million or 8 percent increase, and people with disabilities experienced a $\$ 38,500$ or 83 percent increase. (Note that funding for people living with HIV/AIDS exceeds the amount of funding for HIV/AIDS as an issue area. This is because grants focused on direct HIV/AIDS treatment and prevention are coded as the issue of HIV/AIDS, while grants related to food security, housing, or HIV decriminalization are coded specifically for those respective issues.)
Distribution of Domestic Grant Dollars Among Other Populations


In 2016, funding for people of faith increased by nearly 40 percent to $\$ 5.4$ million, up from $\$ 3.9$ million in 2015. The Arcus Foundation and the Evelyn and Walter Haas, Jr. Fund were the top two funders of LGBTQ people of faith, collectively providing more than two-thirds of the funding.

Distribution of Domestic Grant Dollars Among People of Faith

| 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | Christians |  |
|  |  | \$1,447,972 | \$649,955 |
|  | - | 1\% | 1\% |
|  | 0 | Jewish People |  |
|  |  | \$746,579 | \$1,351,761 |
|  |  | 1\% | 1\% |
|  |  | Muslims |  |
|  |  | \$191,500 | \$370,000 |
|  |  | <1\% | <1\% |
|  |  | People of Faith | General) |
|  |  | \$2,981,256 | \$1,484,238 |
|  |  | 2\% | 1\% |
|  |  | $=\$ 250,000$ |  |

# DOMESTIC FUNDING BY TYPE OF ORGANIZATION LGBTQ ORGANIZATIONS VS. NON-LGBTQ ORGANIZATIONS 

Of the $\$ 156.8$ million in domestic funding for LGBTQ communities, $\$ 124.8$ million was awarded to organizations and $\$ 32$ million supported individuals. (The individuals sum is particularly large in 2016 on account of the direct financial support for the individuals affected by the Pulse Nightclub Massacre.) LGBTQ organizations, those whose mission explicitly focus on LGBTQ issues, were awarded $\$ 81.7$ million or 65 percent of funding for domestic organizations. Non-LGBTQ organizations that received funding for an LGBTQ-specific program, campaign, or outreach effort were awarded $\$ 42.8$ million or 34 percent of funding for domestic organizations. Examples of non-LGBTQ organizations receiving significant funding for LGBTQ work in 2016 include the Advertising Council, the American Civil Liberties Union, the Center for the Study of Social Policy, and the New York Public Library-all of which received more than $\$ 1$ million in funding.

Funding for LGBTQ organizations decreased by \$30,000, while funding for non-LGBTQ organizations decreased by more than $\$ 2.2$ million.

As such, the distribution of funding between LGBTQ organizations and non-LGBTQ organizations shifted slightly. In 2015, 64 percent of the funding benefited LGBTQ organizations and 36 support non-LGBTQ organizations. However, this remains only the second year since we started tracking funding by organization type that the percentage of funding for LGBTQ organizations dipped below 70 percent.

NOTE: All figures in this section do not include the $\$ 32$ million awarded to individuals. That funding includes direct financial support for individuals affected by the Pulse Nightclub Massacre as well as scholarships and fellowships.

Breakdown of Domestic Grant Dollars by Recipient Type: LGBTQ vs. Non-LGBTQ


LGBTQ Organizations
\$81,720,937
Non-LGBTQ Organizations
\$42,874,483
Unspecified
\$186,813

## BREAKDOWN OF DOMESTIC FUNDING FOR LGBTQ ORGANIZATIONS

Consistent with trends we have seen in previous reports, funding for advocacy organizations captured the largest share of dollars, accounting for nearly half of all grants awarded to domestic LGBTQ organizations. National advocacy organizations received the largest share of funding in this category, totaling awards over $\$ 16$ million in 2016, an increase of nearly $\$ 2$ million from the $\$ 14.4$ million national advocacy organizations received in 2015. In contrast to this increase, funding for state-based advocacy organizations decreased 32 percent in 2016, capturing $\$ 7.2$ million in funding for domestic LGBTQ organizations, down from nearly $\$ 11$ million in 2015. Funding for legal service organizations saw a notable increase in this report, accounting for 12 percent of funding, or over \$10 million in 2016.

In keeping with established trends, service providers took the second highest share of funding for domestic LGBTQ organizations, accounting for $\$ 25.6$ million, or 31 percent of the total. Funding for community centers remained a significant portion of funding for LGBTQ service providers, and even saw an increase in 2016, receiving over $\$ 8.4$ million. Funding for HIV/AIDS related service providers, the next most funded type of LGBTQ service provider, also saw a slight increase in funding.

LGBTQ infrastructure organizations received 11 percent of funding for domestic LGBTQ organizations, consistent with previously reported funding in this category though the category did see a slight increase in raw dollars. This increase was attributed in part to an increase of nearly $\$ 2$ million for LGBTQ public foundations.

Funding remained consistent for the remaining categories of LGBTQ domestic organizations, with only minor fluctuations. Grassroots community groups-including faith-based groups, GSA networks, and pride organizations-captured 8 percent of funding for domestic LGBTQ organizations, followed by arts and culture organizations, which accounted for $\$ 3.3$ million, a slight decrease from the $\$ 3.9$ million reported in 2015.

Domestic Grant Dollars for LGBTQ Organizations, by Recipient Organization Type


Advocacy Organizations
45\%
Service Providers
31\%
Infrastructure Organizations
11\%
Grassroots Community Groups
8\%
Arts and Culture Organizations
$4 \%$

Breakdown of Domestic Grant Dollars for LGBTQ Organizations, By Recipient Organization Type and Sub-Type

| Organization Type / Sub-Type | 2016 | \% | 2015 | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Advocacy Organizations | \$36,843,312 | 45\% | \$36,750,159 | 45\% |
| Litigation \& Legal Services Organizations | \$10,108,103 | 12\% | \$8,860,329 | 11\% |
| Local Advocacy Organizations | \$1,750,085 | 2\% | \$1,737,195 | 2\% |
| Regional Advocacy Organizations | \$1,395,147 | 2\% | \$950,520 | 1\% |
| State Advocacy Organizations | \$7,286,035 | 9\% | \$10,744,736 | 13\% |
| National Advocacy Organizations | \$16,303,941 | 20\% | \$14,457,379 | 18\% |
| Arts and Culture Organizations | \$3,337,793 | 4\% | \$3,945,585 | 5\% |
| Grassroots Community Groups | \$6,786,702 | 8\% | \$7,069,768 | 9\% |
| Athletic Groups | \$315,630 | <1\% | \$326,060 | <1\% |
| Business/Professional Networks | \$431,450 | 1\% | \$540,050 | 1\% |
| Faith-based Groups | \$1,617,548 | 2\% | \$1,861,483 | 2\% |
| Family Groups | \$850,082 | 1\% | \$1,006,137 | 1\% |
| GSA Networks or Campus Groups | \$1,974,420 | 2\% | \$2,413,106 | 3\% |
| Multipurpose Community Organizations | \$1,350,342 | 2\% | \$700,600 | 1\% |
| Pride Organizations | \$241,230 | <1\% | \$222,332 | <1\% |
| Social and Recreational Groups | \$6,000 | <1\% | \$0 | <1\% |
| Infrastructure Organizations | \$9,094,742 | 11\% | \$8,816,560 | 11\% |
| Philanthropic Networks | \$1,011,677 | 1\% | \$1,438,860 | 2\% |
| Public Foundations | \$3,404,168 | 4\% | \$1,744,182 | 2\% |
| Research Institutes | \$2,813,153 | 3\% | \$3,530,271 | 4\% |
| Technical Assistance Providers and Networks | \$1,865,744 | 2\% | \$2,103,247 | 3\% |
| Service Providers | \$25,634,977 | 31\% | \$25,168,977 | 31\% |
| Aging Service Providers | \$2,091,843 | 3\% | \$2,774,295 | 3\% |
| Community Centers | \$8,404,747 | 10\% | \$7,752,781 | 9\% |
| Health Centers | \$3,041,375 | 4\% | \$3,101,734 | 4\% |
| HIV/AIDS Service Providers | \$5,272,270 | 6\% | \$4,679,258 | 6\% |
| Other Service Providers | \$2,329,546 | 3\% | \$1,774,918 | 2\% |
| Support Groups | \$72,275 | <1\% | \$0 | 0\% |
| Youth Service Providers | \$4,422,922 | 5\% | \$5,085,990 | 6\% |
| Universities and Post-Secondary Schools | \$21,910 | 41\% |  |  |
| Campus Groups | \$21,910 | <1\% |  |  |
| Unspecified | \$188,313 | <1\% | \$88,320 | <1\% |
| Grand Total | \$81,907,750 |  | \$81,839,369 |  |

## BREAKDOWN OF DOMESTIC FUNDING FOR NON-LGBTQ ORGANIZATIONS

While allied advocacy organizations continued to capture the largest share of funding for non-LGBTQ-specific organizations, this category decreased by $\$ 3.8$ million from $\$ 16.6$ million in 2015, reflecting an overall decrease in domestic funding for non-LGBTQ organizations.

Contrary to the overall decline in the category, domestic funding for some types of non-LGBTQ organizations did see an increase in 2016. Non-LGBTQ service providers, again the second most funded type in this category, accounted for 25 percent of domestic funding for non-LGBTQ organizations, or $\$ 10.6$ million, up modestly from the $\$ 9.5$ million reported in 2015 . This increase is attributed in part to an increase in funding for non-LGBTQ HIV/AIDS service providers, accounting for 12 percent of funding in the category.

The next highest category, infrastructure organizations, again the third most funded type in this category, accounted for 22 percent of domestic funding for non-LGBTQ organizations, or $\$ 9.4$ million. This was a modest increase from the $\$ 8.2$ million reported in 2015 and was driven by increased funding to research institutes and technical assistance providers and networks.

Non-LGBTQ community groups as well as non-LGBTQ arts and culture organizations saw modest increases in funding in 2016.

Domestic Grant Dollars for Non-LGBTQ Organizations, by Recipient Organization Type


Advocacy Organizations
30\%
Arts and Culture Organizations
$9 \%$
Government/Intergovernmental Agencies
$<1 \%$
Grassroots Community Groups
$7 \%$
Infrastructure Organizations
$22 \%$
Service Providers
$25 \%$
University/Schools
$7 \%$

Breakdown of Domestic Grant Dollars for Non-LGBTQ Organizations, By Recipient Organization Type and Sub-Type

| Organization Type / Sub-Type | 2016 | \% | 2015 | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Advocacy Organizations | \$12,892,866 | 30\% | \$16,657,191 | 37\% |
| Litigation \& Legal Services Organizations | \$2,358,307 | 6\% | \$5,482,648 | 12\% |
| Local Advocacy Organizations | \$1,684,532 | 4\% | \$2,643,748 | 6\% |
| Regional Advocacy Organizations | \$520,081 | 1\% | \$295,000 | 1\% |
| State Advocacy Organizations | \$1,222,939 | 3\% | \$708,381 | 2\% |
| National Advocacy Organizations | \$7,101,007 | 17\% | \$7,527,414 | 17\% |
| Arts and Culture Organizations | \$3,698,477 | 9\% | \$3,037,120 | 7\% |
| Government Agencies (Including Public School Systems) | \$62,850 | <1\% | \$253,157 | 1\% |
| Grassroots Community Groups | \$2,987,081 | 7\% | \$2,179,318 | 5\% |
| Athletic Organizations | \$1,000 | $<1 \%$ | \$0 N/A |  |
| Business/Professional Network | \$916,700 | 2\% | \$773,300 | 2\% |
| Community Organization | \$1,329,686 | 3\% | \$90,000 |  |
| Faith-based Group | \$738,695 | 2\% | \$1,316,018 | 3\% |
| Infrastructure Organizations | \$9,404,028 | 22\% | \$8,265,585 | 18\% |
| Philanthropic Infrastructure | \$966,991 | 2\% | \$156,500 | <1\% |
| Public Foundations and Intermediaries | \$3,869,872 | 9\% | \$4,788,434 | 11\% |
| Research Institutes | \$3,290,200 | 8\% | \$2,555,000 | 6\% |
| Technical Assistance Provider and Networks | \$1,276,965 | 3\% | \$765,651 | 2\% |
| Service Providers | \$10,680,212 | 25\% | \$9,510,448 | 21\% |
| Aging Service Providers | \$20,100 | <1\% | \$68,575 | <1\% |
| Community Centers | \$144,537 | <1\% | \$206,966 | <1\% |
| Health Centers | \$1,815,087 | 4\% | \$2,128,399 | 5\% |
| HIV/AIDS Service Providers | \$5,166,619 | 12\% | \$3,937,189 | 9\% |
| Other Service Providers | \$2,221,276 | 5\% | \$1,883,352 | 4\% |
| Support Groups | \$35,000 | $<1 \%$ | \$5,000 | $<1 \%$ |
| Youth Service Providers | \$1,277,593 | 3\% | \$1,280,961 | 3\% |
| Universities and Post-Secondary Schools | \$3,148,968 | 7\% | \$5,274,647 | 12\% |
| Campus Groups | \$6,250 | <1\% | - |  |
| High Schools | \$4,800 | <1\% | \$20,007 | <1\% |
| Universities | \$3,148,968 | 7\% | \$5,254,640 | 12\% |
| Grand Total | \$42,874,483 |  | \$45,156,303 |  |

# GLOBAL FUNDING FOR LGBTQ ISSUES 

In 2016, U.S.-based foundations awarded 949 grants totaling more than $\$ 45.5$ million to support international LGBTQ issues and LGBTQ communities outside the U.S. This figure does not include an additional 27 grants totaling $\$ 5.6$ million that were awarded to intermediaries for international re-granting. This represents an increase of 44 percent from the $\$ 31.5$ million awarded in 2015, and a new all-time high for this category.

Grantmaking outside of the United States accounted for approximately 22 percent of grantmaking by U.S. foundations.

NOTE: This section explores funding from foundations, corporations, and nonprofit grantmakers based in the United States. It does not include LGBTQ funding from foundations and grantmaking institutions outside the U.S. or governments and multilateral organizations. The 2013-2014 Global Resources Report, published by Funders for LGBTQ Issues in partnership with the Global Philanthropy Project, tracks philanthropic support for LGBTQ issues globally and includes those grantmakers. An updated edition is scheduled for release in 2018.

NOTE: The list of top grant recipients excludes dollars awarded for re-granting purposes. Multi-year grants are counted for the full amount in the year they are awarded.

## TOP 10 U.S.-BASED GLOBAL LGBTQ FUNDERS¹0

TOP 10 GLOBAL LGBTQ GRANTEES OF U.S.-BASED FUNDERS" ${ }^{11}$

1 Open Society Foundations
\$8,318,097
New York, NY
2 Arcus Foundation
\$7,427,691
New York, NY
3 American Jewish World Service
\$3,799,890
New York, NY
4 Ford Foundation
\$3,273,800
New York, NY
5 Astraea Lesbian Foundation for Justice \$2,475,110 New York, NY

6 Foundation for a Just Society
\$2,100,000
New York, NY
7 M.A.C. AIDS Fund
\$2,052,531
New York, NY
8 Gilead Sciences
\$1,983,493
Foster City, CA
9 Global Fund for Women
\$1,913,530
New York, NY
10 Tides Foundation
\$1,628,377
San Francisco, CA

1 OutRight Action International
\$2,113,092
New York, NY
2 The East Africa Sexual Health and Rights Initiative (UHAI)
\$1,940,827
Nairobi, Kenya
3 ILGA - Europe
\$1,534,859
Brussels, Belgium
4 Astraea Lesbian Foundation for Justice
\$1,352,100
New York, NY
5 Creating Resources for Empowerment and Action (CREA)
\$1,216,132
New Delhi, India
6 Human Rights Watch
\$1,028,500
New York, NY
7 Global Action for Trans Equality (GATE) \$770,000
New York, NY
8 University of the Witwatersrand \$754,700
Johannesburg, South Africa
9 Allied Rainbow Communities International \$750,000
Dartmouth, Canada
9 Fondo Centroamericano de Mujeres (FCAM) \$750,000
Managua, Nicaragua

[^8]
## GLOBAL LGBTQ FUNDING BY REGION AND ISSUES ADDRESSED <br> - = \$500,000 <br> Canada

\$620,477 1\%

International
TOP FUNDER
Arcus Foundation
\$3,603,879
TOP STRATEGY
Advocacy (52\%)
TOP ISSUE ADDRESSED
Human Rights (88\%)

Canada ${ }^{12}$<br>TOP FUNDER<br>Mukti Fund<br>\$337,000<br>TOP STRATEGY<br>Culture and Media (55\%)<br>TOP ISSUE ADDRESSED<br>Strengthening<br>Community, Families, and Visibility (55\%)

Latin America and the Caribbean ${ }^{13}$
TOP FUNDER
Open Society Foundations
\$792,094
TOP STRATEGY
Advocacy (46\%)
TOP ISSUE ADDRESSED
Human Rights (61\%)

Western Europe TOP FUNDER
Gilead Sciences
\$1,439,228
TOP STRATEGY
Direct Service (40\%)
TOP ISSUE ADDRESSED
Human Rights (52\%)

[^9]

## GLOBAL FUNDING BY LOCATION OF GRANTEE

In 2016, 24 percent of all funding for global LGBTQ issues was awarded to a grantee physically located in the United States. Another 15 percent of funding for global LGBTQ work was awarded to grantees physically located in Western Europe. Over sixty percent of global funding by U.S. foundations reached organizations that were physically located outside of Western Europe and the United States.

This chart shows the country locations of grantees that received U.S. foundation funding for LGBTQI issues in 2016. For each geographic area, the chart shows the amount of funding for each country in the region. It also shows the funding for work focused on the region, but conducted by organizations based outside the region. Some funding was devoted to organizations in undisclosed locations, and that total amount is listed for each region.

## Global Funding by Location of Grantee

| Asia and Pacific | $\$ 6,092,891$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Regional Funding for Organizations Based |  |
| Within Asia and Pacific |  |
| Australia | $\$ 249,580$ |
| China | $\$ 198,409$ |
| Fiji | $\$ 12,600$ |
| India | $\$ 1,801,606$ |
| Indonesia | $\$ 177,110$ |
| Malaysia | $\$ 65,500$ |
| Mongolia | $\$ 90,000$ |
| Myanmar | $\$ 360,000$ |
| Nepal | $\$ 9,520$ |
| New Zealand | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Pakistan | $\$ 76,160$ |
| Philippines | $\$ 214,515$ |
| Singapore | $\$ 10,000$ |
| South Korea | $\$ 18,057$ |
| Sri Lanka | $\$ 239,248$ |
| Taiwan | $\$ 86,350$ |
| Thailand | $\$ 569,080$ |
| Regional |  |


| Regional Funding for Organizations Based <br> Outside Asia and Pacific |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Turkey | $\$ 22,000$ |
| United States of America | $\$ 150,000$ |
| Regional Funding for Organizations Based <br> in Undisclosed Countries |  |


| Eastern Europe, Central Asia and Russia | \$2,053,027 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Regional Funding for Organizations Based Within Eastern Europe, Central Asia and Russia |  |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | \$82,350 |
| Bulgaria | \$6,000 |
| Croatia | \$249,040 |
| Czech Republic | \$219,050 |
| Estonia | \$42,000 |
| Georgia | \$155,300 |
| Hungary | \$46,550 |
| Kazakhstan | \$10,000 |
| Kosovo | \$15,000 |
| Kyrgyzstan | \$40,000 |
| Latvia | \$110,000 |
| Lithuania | \$6,000 |
| Macedonia | \$80,000 |
| Moldova | \$40,000 |
| Montenegro | \$45,000 |
| Poland | \$227,150 |
| Russia | \$36,250 |
| Serbia | \$194,100 |
| Slovenia | \$43,450 |
| Tajikistan | \$5,000 |
| Ukraine | \$152,750 |
| Uzbekistan | \$1,980 |
| Regional Funding for Organizations Based Outside Eastern Europe, Central Asia and Russia |  |
| The Netherlands | \$80,000 |
| United States of America | \$74,500 |

Regional Funding for Organizations Based in Undisclosed Countries

Unspecified
\$91,557

| Latin America and <br> the Caribbean | $\$ \mathbf{2}, 279,428$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Regional Funding for Organizations <br> Based Within Latin America and the <br> Caribbean |  |
| Argentina | $\$ 721,686$ |
| Belize | $\$ 180,000$ |
| Brazil | $\$ 501,900$ |
| Chile | $\$ 464,150$ |
| Colombia | $\$ 421,445$ |
| Costa Rica | $\$ 14,000$ |
| Dominican Republic | $\$ 134,581$ |
| Ecuador | $\$ 61,050$ |
| El Salvador | $\$ 61,050$ |
| Guyana | $\$ 21,000$ |
| Haiti | $\$ 85,600$ |
| Honduras | $\$ 95,650$ |
| Jamaica | $\$ 425,831$ |
| Mexico | $\$ 551,383$ |
| Nicaragua | $\$ 833,240$ |
| Paraguay | $\$ 35,000$ |
| Peru | $\$ 51,900$ |
| St. Lucia | $\$ 270,000$ |
| Trinidad \& Tobago | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Regional Funding for Organizations |  |
| Based Outside Latin America and the |  |
| Caribbean | $\$ 25,000$ |
| Canada | $\$ 205,000$ |
| United States of America | $\$ 109,962$ |
| Regional Funding for Organizations |  |
| Based in Undisclosed Countries |  |
| Unspecified |  |

## Global Funding by Location of Grantee (cont.)

| Middle East and North Africa | \$1,275,350 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Regional Funding for Organizations Based Within Middle East and North Africa |  |
| Egypt | \$100,000 |
| Israel | \$346,850 |
| Jordan | \$100,000 |
| Lebanon | \$490,661 |
| Palestine Territories | \$20,000 |
| Syria | \$6,000 |
| Tunisia | \$17,300 |
| Turkey | \$104,911 |
| Regional Funding for Organizations Based Outside Middle East and North Africa |  |
| France | \$6,000 |
| United States of America | \$25,000 |
| International | \$14,811,481 |
| International Funding for Organizations Based Around The World |  |
| Argentina | \$50,000 |
| Australia | \$13,000 |
| Belgium | \$33,955 |
| Canada | \$1,411,610 |
| China | \$270,000 |
| Colombia | \$150,000 |
| France | \$3,000 |
| Germany | \$620,000 |
| Ireland | \$80,000 |
| Israel | \$5,000 |
| Kenya | \$50,000 |
| South Africa | \$1,523,517 |
| Switzerland | \$536,150 |
| Thailand | \$50,000 |
| The Netherlands | \$600,425 |
| United Kingdom | \$185,000 |
| United States of America | \$9,199,824 |
| Unspecified | \$30,000 |


| Sub-Saharan Africa | \$10,677,371 | Western Europe | \$4,581,920 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regional Funding for Organizations Based Within Sub-Saharan Africa |  | Regional Funding for Organizations Based Within Western Europe |  |
| Botswana | \$186,575 | Austria | \$5,000 |
| Burkina Faso | \$40,000 | Belgium | \$1,606,904 |
| Burundi | \$18,563 | France | \$66,000 |
| Cameroon | \$5,000 | Germany | \$328,000 |
| Democratic Republic of the Congo | \$24,815 | Greece | \$5,500 |
|  |  | Iceland | \$10,000 |
| Ivory Coast | \$5,000 | Ireland | \$55,899 |
| Kenya | \$3,819,154 | Italy | \$313,500 |
| Liberia | \$94,000 | Malta | \$20,000 |
| Mozambique | \$155,000 | Norway | \$24,733 |
| Namibia | \$211,000 | Portugal | \$3,000 |
| Nigeria | \$235,089 | Spain | \$206,083 |
| Senegal | \$5,000 | Switzerland | \$75,000 |
| South Africa | \$3,459,331 | The Netherlands | \$1,071,275 |
| Tanzania | \$171,000 | United Kingdom | \$822,665 |
| Togo | \$6,000 | Regional Funding for Organizations Based Outside Western Europe |  |
| Uganda | \$1,149,967 |  |  |
| Zambia | \$78,000 | United States of America | \$25,000 |
| Zimbabwe | \$75,000 | Regional Funding for Organizations Based in Undisclosed Countries |  |
| Regional Funding for Organizations Based Outside Sub-Saharan Africa |  |  |  |
|  |  | Unspecified | \$64,500 |
| United States of America | \$715,114 |  |  |
| Regional Funding for Organizations Based in Undisclosed Countries |  |  |  |
| Unspecified | \$223,763 |  |  |
| United States and Canada \$127,910,167 |  |  |  |
| Regional Funding for Organizations Based Within the United States and Canada This section does not include funding from the One Orlando Fund. |  |  |  |
| Canada | \$280,977 |  |  |
| United States of America | \$126,302,933 |  |  |
| Regional Funding for Organizations Based in Undisclosed Countries |  |  |  |
| Unspecified | \$1,326,257 |  |  |

# COMMUNITY FOUNDATION GRANTMAKING FOR LGBTQ ISSUES 

In 2016, community foundations awarded $\$ 6.8$ million to LGBTQ issues (or $\$ 6.6$ million after dollars awarded for re-granting are excluded). This is a decrease from 2015, when community foundations awarded \$8.3.

Donor-advised funds accounted for 30 percent of community foundation grantmaking for LGBTQ issues.
NOTE: This section includes funding awarded by community foundations from their discretionary funds and LGBT-specific funds as well as from their donor-advised funds, which are often driven by recommendations from the donor who originally established the fund.

## TOP 10 COMMUNITY FOUNDATIONS

1 Chicago Community Trust \$859,825
Chicago, IL
2 California Community Foundation \$813,725
Los Angeles, CA
3 New York Community Trust \$613,130
New York, NY
4 Community Foundation for Northeast Florida \$529,534
Jacksonville, FL
5 Boston Foundation
\$470,190
Boston, MA
6 Community Foundation for Southeast Michigan
\$428,375
Detroit, MI
7 Miami Foundation \$417,895
Miami, FL
8 San Francisco Foundation \$322,463
San Francisco, CA
9 Philadelphia Foundation \$265,895
Philadelphia, PA
10 Minneapolis Foundation
\$263,088
Minneapolis, Minnesota

TOP 10 COMMUNITY FOUNDATION GRANTEES

1 Jacksonville Area Sexual Minority Youth Network (JASMYN)
\$392,400
Jacksonville, FL
2 Howard Brown Health Center
\$303,750
Chicago, IL
3 The Boston Foundation
\$263,000
Boston, MA
4 Los Angeles LGBT Center
\$261,067
Los Angeles, CA
5 Lambda Legal Defense and Education Fund \$194,155
New York, NY
6 San Francisco AIDS Foundation \$192,213
San Francisco, CA
7 Chicago House and Social Service Agency \$187,000
Chicago, IL
8 SAGE
\$172,563
New York, NY
9 Equality Ohio Education Fund \$128,500
Columbus, OH
10 Equality California Institute
\$127,000
Los Angeles, CA

## CORPORATE GRANTMAKING FOR LGBTQ ISSUES

In 2016, corporate foundation support for LGBTQ issues totaled a record-breaking $\$ 25.9$ million (or $\$ 18.2$ million after dollars awarded for re-granting are excluded). The $\$ 9.4$ million increase was driven largely by support for LGBTQ communities in Orlando in the aftermath of the Pulse Nightclub Massacre. Corporate funders included in this report awarded $\$ 5.5$ million to the OneOrlando Fund at Strengthen Orlando.

NOTE: The Committee Encouraging Corporate Philanthropy estimates that corporate foundation giving only accounts for 34 percent of all corporate giving, with direct cash accounting for 48 percent and in-kind giving accounting for 18 percent. Currently, our corporate data only includes corporate foundation grantmaking and employee matching gift programs run through corporate foundations with some direct cash included if the company self reports. It does not include all of the generous support from corporations giving without an official foundation or philanthropic office or in-kind gifts.

## TOP 10 CORPORATE GRANTEES

## 1 San Francisco AIDS Foundation

\$1,812,345
San Francisco, CA
2 Los Angeles LGBT Center
\$1,055,763
Los Angeles, CA
3 AIDS Foundation of Chicago
\$688,660
Chicago, IL
4 Amsterdam H-Team
\$500,138
Amsterdam, the Netherlands
5 Stitching Amsterdam Institute for Global Health and Development \$500,137
Amsterdam, the Netherlands
6 National Black Justice Coalition
\$487,127
Washington, DC
7 My Brother's Keeper
\$400,000
Jackson, MS
8 GMHC
\$378,656
New York, NY
9 Emory University
\$375,000
Atlanta, GA
10 Advocates for Youth
\$310,000
Washington, DC

# PRIVATE FOUNDATION GRANTMAKING FOR LGBTQ ISSUES 

In 2016, private foundations awarded $\$ 88.4$ million to $L G B T Q$ issues (or $\$ 80$ million after dollars for re-granting are excluded). This represents a $\$ 9.5$ million increase from 2015 and a new record high for LGBTQ grantmaking by private foundations. Non-LGBTQ private foundations increased their grantmaking by $\$ 6.3$ million and LGBTQ private foundations increased their grantmaking by $\$ 3.2$ million.

Even with the nearly $\$ 40$ million awarded through public foundations in the aftermath of the Pulse Nightclub Massacre in Orlando, private foundations continue to represent the largest share of LGBTQ funding.

## TOP 10 LGBTQ PRIVATE

FOUNDATIONS

1 Arcus Foundation
\$17,555,936
New York, NY
2 Gill Foundation
\$9,827,940
Denver, CO
3 H. van Ameringen Foundation \$3,788,000
New York, NY
4 David Bohnett Foundation
\$2,093,671
Beverly Hills, CA
5 Calamus Foundation
\$1,245,000
New York, NY
6 Tawani Foundation
\$1,214,000
Chicago, IL
7 Amy Mandel and Katina Rodis Fund
\$1,154,982
Asheville, NC
8 Palette Fund
\$646,000
New York, NY
9 Silva Watson Moonwalk Fund
\$615,000
Greenbrae, CA
10 B. W. Bastian Foundation \$591,755
Oren, UT

## TOP 10 NON-LGBTQ PRIVATE

 FOUNDATIONS
## 1 Ford Foundation

\$11,815,800
New York, NY
2 Open Society Foundations
\$8,818,097
New York, NY
3 Evelyn \& Walter Haas, Jr. Fund \$6,989,750
San Francisco, CA
4 Foundation for a Just Society
\$2,405,000
New York, NY
5 The California Endowment \$2,190,138
Los Angeles, CA
6 Walter and Elise Haas Fund \$891,750
San Francisco, CA
7 California Wellness Foundation \$890,000 Woodland Hills, CA
8 Meyer Memorial Trust
\$837,000
Portland, OR
9 Andrew W. Mellon Foundation \$754,700
New York, NY
10 Johnson Family Foundation \$695,100
New York, NY

## TOP 10 PRIVATE FOUNDATION

 GRANTEES ${ }^{15}$1 National LGBTQ Task Force
\$3,933,505
Washington, DC
2 Los Angeles LGBT Center \$1,889,962
Los Angeles, CA
3 Genders \& Sexualities Alliance Network
\$1,665,000
Oakland, CA
4 SAGE
\$1,303,250
New York, NY
5 Freedom for All Americans
\$1,296,000
Washington, DC
6 Equality Federation Institute \$1,169,500
Portland, OR
7 Movement Advancement Project (MAP)
\$1,140,000
Denver, CO
8 New York Public Library
\$1,100,000
New York, NY
9 Horizons Foundation
\$1,055,000
San Francisco, CA
10 The Advertising Council \$1,000,000
New York, NY
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# PUBLIC FUNDER GRANTMAKING FOR LGBTQ ISSUES 

In 2016, public foundations awarded $\$ 90.5$ million to LGBTQ issues (or $\$ 79.5$ million after dollars awarded for regranting are excluded). This represents a $\$ 41.5$ million increase from 2015. This record-breaking sum was driven largely by the nearly $\$ 40$ million awarded by Strengthen Orlando-OneOrlando Fund and Equality Institute Florida.

Eleven percent of public grantmaking for LGBTQ issues came from donor advised funds.
Public funders were responsible for awarding more than $\$ 8.3$ million to support trans communities.

## TOP 10 LGBTQ PUBLIC FUNDERS

1 Equality Florida Institute
\$9,445,045
St. Petersburg, FL
2 Elton John AIDS Foundation
\$5,958,445
New York, NY
3 Pride Foundation
\$5,553,409
Seattle, WA
4 Astraea Lesbian Foundation for Justice
\$4,288,527
New York, NY
5 Horizons Foundation
\$1,726,809
San Francisco, CA
6 Black Tie Dinner
\$1,243,933
Dallas, TX
7 Our Fund Foundation \$768,829
Fort Lauderdale, FL
8 Point Foundation
\$750,414
Los Angeles, CA
9 San Diego Human Dignity Foundation
\$581,898
San Diego, CA
10 Funders for LGBTQ Issues \$528,800
New York, NY

## TOP 10 NON-LGBTQ PUBLIC FUNDERS

1 Strengthen Orlando
\$29,510,000
Orlando, FL
2 Tides Foundation
\$5,191,452
San Francisco, CA
3 American Jewish World Service
\$3,809,890
New York, NY
4 Global Fund for Women
\$1,913,530
New York, NY
5 New York Women's Foundation
\$1,443,000
New York, NY
6 Borealis Philanthropy
\$1,382,500
Minneapolis, MN
7 Freedom House
\$1,376,134
Washington, D.C.
8 Broadway Cares/Equity Fights

## AIDS

\$1,177,053
New York, NY
9 Robin Hood Foundation
\$1,050,000
New York, NY
10 Susan G. Komen Foundation
\$792,699
Dallas, TX

## TOP 10 PUBLIC FUNDER GRANTEES ${ }^{16}$

1 Lambda Legal Defense and Education Fund
\$1,466,945
New York, NY
2 Creating Resources for Empowerment and Action (CREA) \$1,216,132
New Delhi, India
3 Human Rights Campaign (HRC) Foundation
\$834,530
Washington, DC
4 GLAAD
\$814,067
New York, NY
5 American Foundation for AIDS Research (amFAR)
\$813,567
Washington, DC
6 Whitman-Walker Health
\$800,471
Washington, DC
7 National LGBTQ Task Force
\$790,984
Washington, DC
8 GLSEN
\$670,015
New York, NY
9 Hetrick-Martin Institute (HMI)
\$650,500
New York, NY
10 Transgender Law Center
\$611,250
Oakland, CA
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## APPENDIX: 2016 LIST OF LGBTQ GRANTMAKERS IN THE U.S.

| FOUNDATION NAME | TOTAL GRANTS | DIRECT GRANT DOLLARS | REGRANTING DOLLARS | TOTAL DOLLARS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AbbVie Foundation | 1 | \$10,000 |  | \$10,000 |
| Adidas America | 1 |  | \$272,157 | \$272,157 |
| Advocates for Youth | 5 | \$72,660 |  | \$72,660 |
| AHS Foundation | 2 | \$24,500 |  | \$24,500 |
| AIDS Foundation of Chicago | 8 | \$146,900 |  | \$146,900 |
| AIDS Funding Collaborative | 3 | \$70,375 |  | \$70,375 |
| AIDS United | 10 | \$618,284 |  | \$618,284 |
| Akron Community Foundation | 21 | \$51,400 |  | \$51,400 |
| Alaska Airlines | 1 |  | \$25,000 | \$25,000 |
| All Out | 6 | \$110,944 |  | \$110,944 |
| Allstate Foundation | 1 | \$1,000 |  | \$1,000 |
| Alphawood Foundation | 12 | \$490,000 |  | \$490,000 |
| American Jewish World Service | 83 | \$3,094,890 | \$715,000 | \$3,809,890 |
| amfAR, The Foundation for AIDS Research | 4 | \$100,000 |  | \$100,000 |
| Ananda Fund | 1 | \$250 |  | \$250 |
| Andersen Foundation, Hugh J. | 2 | \$16,000 |  | \$16,000 |
| Andrus Family Fund | 3 | \$545,000 |  | \$545,000 |
| Anonymous Donors | 64 | \$17,999,706 | \$9,014,000 | \$27,013,706 |
| Anschutz Family Foundation, The | 1 | \$5,000 |  | \$5,000 |
| Appalachian Community Fund | 1 | \$1,000 |  | \$1,000 |
| Arcus Foundation | 191 | \$14,089,454 | \$3,466,482 | \$17,555,936 |
| ARIA Foundation | 6 | \$170,000 | \$35,000 | \$205,000 |
| Arizona Community Foundation | 34 | \$235,950 |  | \$235,950 |
| Asian Americans/Pacific Islanders in Philanthropy (AAPIP) | 10 | \$3,429 | \$28,459 | \$31,887 |
| Astraea Lesbian Foundation for Justice | 322 | \$4,288,527 |  | \$4,288,527 |


| FOUNDATION NAME | TOTAL GRANTS | DIRECT GRANT DOLLARS | REGRANTING DOLLARS | TOTAL DOLLARS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Austin Foundation, Sidley | 2 | \$25,000 |  | \$25,000 |
| AVAC | 2 | \$32,000 |  | \$32,000 |
| Babson Charitable Foundation, Susan A. and Donald P. | 8 | \$37,000 |  | \$37,000 |
| Babson Foundation, Paul and Edith | 6 | \$31,000 |  | \$31,000 |
| Bader Philanthropies | 1 | \$12,500 |  | \$12,500 |
| Baker Brook Foundation: H. Leonard, K. Angell, W. Leonard, D. Leonard | 2 | \$750 |  | \$750 |
| Bangser Charitable Foundation, Andrew and Barbara | 1 | \$500 |  | \$500 |
| Bank of America Charitable Foundation | 104 | \$379,167 | \$300,000 | \$679,167 |
| Barra Foundation, The | 2 | \$100,000 |  | \$100,000 |
| Bastian Foundation, B. W. | 42 | \$591,755 |  | \$591,755 |
| Bernstein Memorial Foundation, Morey | 1 | \$1,500 |  | \$1,500 |
| Black Tie Dinner | 17 | \$1,243,933 |  | \$1,243,933 |
| Bloomberg Philanthropies | 1 | \$105,000 |  | \$105,000 |
| Blowitz-Ridgeway Foundation | 1 | \$10,000 |  | \$10,000 |
| Blue Shield of California Foundation | 2 | \$22,000 |  | \$22,000 |
| Borealis Philanthropy | 48 | \$1,382,500 |  | \$1,382,500 |
| Borick Foundation, Louis L. | 4 | \$100,000 | \$25,000 | \$125,000 |
| Boston Foundation | 71 | \$445,190 | \$25,000 | \$470,190 |
| Bread and Roses Community Fund | 11 | \$43,750 |  | \$43,750 |
| Bremer Foundation, Otto | 9 | \$575,000 |  | \$575,000 |
| Bristol-Myers Squibb Company | 4 | \$215,000 |  | \$215,000 |
| Broadway Cares/Equity Fights AIDS | 59 | \$1,127,053 | \$50,000 | \$1,177,053 |
| Brother Help Thyself | 25 | \$64,550 |  | \$64,550 |
| Bush Foundation | 2 | \$60,000 |  | \$60,000 |
| Cafritz Foundation, Morris and Gwendolyn | 2 | \$222,000 |  | \$222,000 |
| Calamus Foundation (Delaware) | 6 | \$62,500 |  | \$62,500 |
| Calamus Foundation (New York) | 25 | \$1,245,000 |  | \$1,245,000 |
| California Community Foundation | 105 | \$808,725 | \$5,000 | \$813,725 |
| California Endowment, The | 63 | \$2,190,138 |  | \$2,190,138 |
| California Wellness Foundation | 4 | \$890,000 |  | \$890,000 |
| Calvin Klein Family Foundation | 2 | \$28,000 |  | \$28,000 |
| Campaign for Southern Equality | 48 | \$31,662 | \$1,874 | \$33,536 |
| Campbell Foundation, The | 6 | \$30,000 |  | \$30,000 |
| Cape Cod Foundation, The | 4 | \$4,092 |  | \$4,092 |
| Capital Group Companies Charitable Foundation | 16 | \$13,839 |  | \$13,839 |
| CareOregon | 4 | \$78,000 |  | \$78,000 |
| Casey Foundation, Annie E. | 2 | \$30,000 | \$75,000 | \$105,000 |


| FOUNDATION NAME | TOTAL GRANTS | DIRECT GRANT DOLLARS | REGRANTING DOLLARS | TOTAL DOLLARS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Celanese Foundation | 1 | \$20,000 |  | \$20,000 |
| Central Florida Foundation | 10 | \$334,500 | \$500 | \$335,000 |
| Charitable Foundation, The | 1 | \$1,500 |  | \$1,500 |
| Chicago Community Trust | 30 | \$859,825 |  | \$859,825 |
| Chicago Foundation for Women | 3 | \$45,000 |  | \$45,000 |
| Cigna Foundation | 3 | \$35,000 | \$75,000 | \$110,000 |
| Citi Foundation | 3 | \$255,000 | \$100,000 | \$355,000 |
| Civil Rights Defenders | 1 | \$500 |  | \$500 |
| Cleveland Foundation, The | 14 | \$173,500 |  | \$173,500 |
| Coca-Cola Foundation, The | 1 | \$100,000 |  | \$100,000 |
| COIL Foundation | 6 | \$97,072 |  | \$97,072 |
| Collins Foundation, The | 5 | \$285,000 |  | \$285,000 |
| Comcast NBCUniversal | 1 |  | \$1,000,000 | \$1,000,000 |
| Common Stream | 3 | \$60,000 |  | \$60,000 |
| Communities Foundation of Texas | 1 | \$10,100 |  | \$10,100 |
| Community Catalyst | 6 | \$105,000 |  | \$105,000 |
| Community Foundation Boulder County | 25 | \$73,650 |  | \$73,650 |
| Community Foundation for Greater Atlanta | 3 | \$107,000 | \$10,000 | \$117,000 |
| Community Foundation for Northeast Florida | 21 | \$496,534 | \$33,000 | \$529,534 |
| Community Foundation for Southeast Michigan | 33 | \$428,375 |  | \$428,375 |
| Community Foundation for Southern Arizona | 19 | \$54,200 | \$1,195 | \$55,395 |
| Community Foundation of Broward | 17 | \$159,843 |  | \$159,843 |
| Community Foundation of Greater Fort Wayne | 2 | \$2,122 |  | \$2,122 |
| Community Foundation of Greater Greensboro | 4 | \$21,000 | \$2,017 | \$23,017 |
| Community Foundation of Middle Tennessee | 8 | \$12,500 | \$2,800 | \$15,300 |
| Community Foundation of Santa Cruz County | 11 | \$46,504 | \$500 | \$47,004 |
| Community Foundation of Sarasota County | 17 | \$54,000 | \$600 | \$54,600 |
| Con Alma Health Foundation | 1 | \$1,000 |  | \$1,000 |
| Con Edison Corporate Giving Program | 1 | \$300 |  | \$300 |
| Cone Health Foundation | 4 | \$303,395 |  | \$303,395 |
| Consumer Health Foundation | 1 | \$30,000 |  | \$30,000 |
| Contigo Fund | 2 | \$30,000 |  | \$30,000 |
| Cowles Charitable Trust, The | 1 | \$2,500 |  | \$2,500 |
| Cream City Foundation | 41 | \$152,062 |  | \$152,062 |
| CREDO | 2 | \$61,788 |  | \$61,788 |
| Crocker Trust, Mary | 1 | \$800 |  | \$800 |
| Crossroads Fund | 23 | \$87,500 |  | \$87,500 |
| Cummings Foundation | 1 | \$100,000 |  | \$100,000 |


| FOUNDATION NAME | TOTAL GRANTS | DIRECT GRANT DOLLARS | REGRANTING DOLLARS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { DOLLARS } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cummings Memorial Fund, Frances L. \& Edwin L. | 1 | \$35,000 |  | \$35,000 |
| Darden Restaurants | 3 | \$5,000 | \$510,000 | \$515,000 |
| David Bohnett Foundation | 91 | \$2,093,171 | \$500 | \$2,093,671 |
| Davidson Family Foundation, The | 1 | \$500 |  | \$500 |
| DeCamp Foundation, Ira W. | 2 | \$225,000 |  | \$225,000 |
| deKay Foundation | 2 | \$60,000 |  | \$60,000 |
| Delaware Valley Legacy Fund | 6 | \$20,000 |  | \$20,000 |
| Design Industries Foundation Fighting AIDS (DIFFA) | 25 | \$199,820 | \$2,000 | \$201,820 |
| District of Columbia Bar Foundation | 1 | \$75,000 |  | \$75,000 |
| Dollgener Memorial AIDS Fund, Greg | 1 | \$1,000 |  | \$1,000 |
| Duda Family Foundation | 1 | \$500 |  | \$500 |
| Dwight Stuart Youth Fund | 7 | \$158,900 |  | \$158,900 |
| Eisenberg Family Foundation, Mitzi \& Warren | 1 | \$2,000 |  | \$2,000 |
| Elizabeth Taylor AIDS Foundation | 13 | \$170,000 |  | \$170,000 |
| Elton John AIDS Foundation | 79 | \$5,958,445 |  | \$5,958,445 |
| Equality Florida Institute | 1 |  | \$9,445,045 | \$9,445,045 |
| Esmond Harmsworth 1997 Charitable Foundation | 9 | \$255,000 |  | \$255,000 |
| Fanatics | 1 |  | \$132,452 | \$132,452 |
| Fels Fund, Samuel S. | 1 | \$3,000 |  | \$3,000 |
| Field Foundation of Illinois | 1 | \$20,000 |  | \$20,000 |
| Florida Bar Association | 1 | \$5,000 |  | \$5,000 |
| Ford Foundation | 35 | \$9,255,800 | \$2,560,000 | \$11,815,800 |
| Foundation for a Just Society | 9 | \$2,405,000 |  | \$2,405,000 |
| Foundation for Healthy St. Petersburg | 2 | \$166,575 |  | \$166,575 |
| Foundation for Louisiana | 8 | \$3,400 |  | \$3,400 |
| Foundation for the Carolinas - Charlotte Lesbian and Gay Fund | 17 | \$133,675 |  | \$133,675 |
| Frameline | 1 | \$2,500 |  | \$2,500 |
| Frankel Foundation, Julius N. | 1 | \$30,000 |  | \$30,000 |
| Freedom House | 111 | \$1,376,134 |  | \$1,376,134 |
| Freeman Foundation | 16 | \$216,500 | \$70,000 | \$286,500 |
| Fry Foundation, Lloyd A. | 4 | \$135,000 |  | \$135,000 |
| FSG | 1 | \$2,500 |  | \$2,500 |
| Fund for Democratic Communities | 4 | \$15,000 |  | \$15,000 |
| Fund for Global Human Rights | 37 | \$426,640 |  | \$426,640 |
| Funders for LGBTQ Issues | 11 | \$378,800 | \$150,000 | \$528,800 |
| G.E. Foundation | 69 | \$51,469 | \$5,261 | \$56,730 |
| Gamma Mu Foundation | 3 | \$15,600 |  | \$15,600 |


| FOUNDATION NAME | TOTAL GRANTS | DIRECT GRANT DOLLARS | REGRANTING DOLLARS | TOTAL DOLLARS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gay Asian Pacific Alliance (GAPA) Foundation | 15 | \$25,800 |  | \$25,800 |
| Geffen Foundation, David | 1 | \$10,000 |  | \$10,000 |
| General Mills Foundation | 1 | \$12,500 |  | \$12,500 |
| General Service Foundation | 1 | \$52,000 |  | \$52,000 |
| Gerbic Family Foundation, Edward and Verna | 2 | \$2,000 |  | \$2,000 |
| Gilead Sciences | 78 | \$6,541,165 | \$1,000,000 | \$7,541,165 |
| Gill Foundation | 106 | \$9,576,190 | \$251,750 | \$9,827,940 |
| Gilmore Foundation, Irving S. | 2 | \$85,000 |  | \$85,000 |
| Global Fund for Women | 33 | \$1,913,530 |  | \$1,913,530 |
| Grand Foundation, Richard | 2 | \$35,000 |  | \$35,000 |
| Grand Rapids Community Foundation | 1 | \$250 |  | \$250 |
| Grants for the Arts/San Francisco Hotel Tax Fund | 17 | \$472,830 |  | \$472,830 |
| Greater New Orleans Foundation | 13 | \$100,000 |  | \$100,000 |
| Greater Seattle Business Association | 55 | \$410,000 |  | \$410,000 |
| Greater Twin Cities United Way | 7 | \$333,000 |  | \$333,000 |
| Greater Worcester Community Foundation | 1 | \$18,000 |  | \$18,000 |
| Groundswell Fund | 24 | \$629,867 | \$105,000 | \$734,867 |
| Haas Fund, Walter and Elise | 14 | \$891,750 |  | \$891,750 |
| Haas Jr. Fund, Evelyn and Walter | 58 | \$6,614,750 | \$375,000 | \$6,989,750 |
| Hagedorn Fund | 1 | \$20,000 |  | \$20,000 |
| Haring Foundation, Keith | 11 | \$248,500 |  | \$248,500 |
| Harter Charitable Trust, John Burton | 1 | \$25,000 |  | \$25,000 |
| Hartford Foundation for Public Giving | 1 | \$130,125 |  | \$130,125 |
| Hayden Foundation, Charles | 1 | \$75,000 |  | \$75,000 |
| Haymarket People's Fund | 2 | \$16,000 |  | \$16,000 |
| Hazen Foundation, Edward W. | 2 | \$26,000 |  | \$26,000 |
| Headwaters Fund for Justice | 4 | \$47,250 |  | \$47,250 |
| Health Foundation of Greater Indianapolis | 6 | \$221,700 |  | \$221,700 |
| Hearst Foundations | 1 | \$50,000 |  | \$50,000 |
| Heinz Endowments, The | 1 | \$12,500 |  | \$12,500 |
| Hermes Foundation | 3 | \$5,500 |  | \$5,500 |
| Hersh Foundation | 1 | \$15,000 |  | \$15,000 |
| Hewlett Foundation, William and Flora | 5 | \$330,000 | \$300,000 | \$630,000 |
| Higgins Foundation, Colin | 2 | \$12,000 |  | \$12,000 |
| Hill-Snowdon Foundation | 5 | \$95,000 |  | \$95,000 |
| Hofmann Foundation, Kent Richard | 1 | \$5,000 |  | \$5,000 |
| Hollyfield Foundation | 12 | \$45,195 |  | \$45,195 |
| Horizons Foundation | 305 | \$1,721,209 | \$5,600 | \$1,726,809 |


| FOUNDATION NAME | TOTAL GRANTS | DIRECT GRANT DOLLARS | REGRANTING DOLLARS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { DOLLARS } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hostetter, Mark D. and Habib, Alexander N. Foundation | 1 | \$30,000 |  | \$30,000 |
| Human Rights Campaign | 15 | \$187,350 |  | \$187,350 |
| Hunt Foundation, Roy A. | 1 | \$10,000 |  | \$10,000 |
| Intuit Foundation, The | 1 | \$8,362 |  | \$8,362 |
| Ireland Funds, The | 1 | \$6,840 |  | \$6,840 |
| Janssen Therapeutics | 1 | \$3,000 |  | \$3,000 |
| Jet Blue | 1 |  | \$100,000 | \$100,000 |
| Jewish Communal Fund of New York | 12 | \$736,391 |  | \$736,391 |
| Jewish Community Federation of San Francisco, The PeninsuIa, Marin and Sonoma | 3 | \$126,000 |  | \$126,000 |
| Johnson \& Johnson Family of Companies Contribution Fund | 1 | \$100,000 |  | \$100,000 |
| Johnson Family Foundation | 24 | \$695,100 |  | \$695,100 |
| JP Morgan Chase Foundation | 1 |  | \$300,000 | \$300,000 |
| Just Fund Kentucky | 16 | \$43,000 |  | \$43,000 |
| Kaiser Permanente | 4 | \$65,000 |  | \$65,000 |
| Kellett Foundation, John Steven | 4 | \$8,726 |  | \$8,726 |
| Kellogg Foundation, W.K. | 1 | \$75,000 | \$300,000 | \$375,000 |
| King Baudouin Foundation | 4 | \$231,614 |  | \$231,614 |
| Kish Foundation, John C. | 5 | \$162,505 | \$1,000 | \$163,505 |
| Knight Foundation, John S. and James L. | 3 | \$121,000 |  | \$121,000 |
| Komen Foundation, Susan G | 11 | \$792,699 |  | \$792,699 |
| Komen Puget Sound, Susan G. | 1 | \$1,000 |  | \$1,000 |
| Kors Le Pere Foundation | 1 | \$100,000 |  | \$100,000 |
| Kraft Heinz Company Foundation, The | 4 | \$1,020 |  | \$1,020 |
| Kroger Co. Foundation | 1 | \$15,000 |  | \$15,000 |
| LA84 Foundation | 1 | \$2,500 |  | \$2,500 |
| Larsen Foundation, John | 2 | \$42,500 |  | \$42,500 |
| Laughing Gull Foundation | 20 | \$450,000 | \$96,000 | \$546,000 |
| LEAGUE Foundation | 11 | \$30,500 |  | \$30,500 |
| Leeway Foundation | 17 | \$79,745 |  | \$79,745 |
| Left Tilt Fund | 2 | \$30,000 |  | \$30,000 |
| Levi Strauss Foundation | 22 | \$1,107,000 | \$165,000 | \$1,272,000 |
| Liberty Hill Foundation | 66 | \$562,150 | \$50,000 | \$612,150 |
| Lightner Sams Foundation | 1 | \$15,000 |  | \$15,000 |
| Logan Foundation, Reva and David | 1 | \$250,000 |  | \$250,000 |
| M.A.C. AIDS Fund | 130 | \$5,098,364 | \$678,493 | \$5,776,857 |
| MacArthur Foundation, John D and Catherine T. | 2 | \$650,000 |  | \$650,000 |
| Major League Soccer | 1 |  | \$50,000 | \$50,000 |


| FOUNDATION NAME | TOTAL GRANTS | DIRECT GRANT DOLLARS | REGRANTING DOLLARS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { DOLLARS } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mandel, Amy and Rodis, Katina Fund | 36 | \$952,982 | \$202,000 | \$1,154,982 |
| Marcus Foundation, Grace R. and Alan D. | 5 | \$9,200 |  | \$9,200 |
| Marguerite Casey Foundation | 1 | \$2,500 |  | \$2,500 |
| Mary Norris Preyer Fund | 1 | \$5,000 |  | \$5,000 |
| Masto Foundation | 1 |  | \$5,000 | \$5,000 |
| MAXIMUS Foundation | 1 | \$2,800 |  | \$2,800 |
| McGregor Fund | 1 | \$250 |  | \$250 |
| McKenzie River Gathering | 3 | \$26,000 |  | \$26,000 |
| McKesson Foundation | 1 | \$2,011 |  | \$2,011 |
| Medtronic Communities Foundation | 2 | \$3,655 |  | \$3,655 |
| Mellon Foundation, Andrew W. | 1 | \$754,700 |  | \$754,700 |
| Meredith Corporation Foundation | 2 | \$425 |  | \$425 |
| MetLife Foundation | 12 | \$180,265 |  | \$180,265 |
| Meyer Memorial Trust | 10 | \$837,000 |  | \$837,000 |
| Miami Foundation | 50 | \$407,895 | \$10,000 | \$417,895 |
| Miller Foundation, Herman and Frieda L. | 2 | \$75,000 |  | \$75,000 |
| Minneapolis Foundation | 28 | \$209,228 | \$53,859 | \$263,088 |
| Moonwalk Fund, Silva Watson | 7 | \$615,000 |  | \$615,000 |
| Morrison and Foerster Foundation | 9 | \$45,500 | \$6,600 | \$52,100 |
| Ms. Foundation for Women | 2 | \$47,500 |  | \$47,500 |
| Mukti Fund | 3 | \$353,000 |  | \$353,000 |
| Mutschler Foundation, Linda and Jock | 1 | \$250 |  | \$250 |
| Navias Family Foundation | 1 | \$1,000 |  | \$1,000 |
| NEO Philanthropy | 2 | \$335,000 |  | \$335,000 |
| New York Community Trust, The | 20 | \$588,130 | \$25,000 | \$613,130 |
| New York Foundation | 1 | \$40,000 |  | \$40,000 |
| New York Women's Foundation | 40 | \$1,443,000 |  | \$1,443,000 |
| New Yorkers For Children | 1 | \$20,000 |  | \$20,000 |
| Newpol Foundation | 5 | \$47,500 | \$1,000 | \$48,500 |
| North Star Fund | 20 | \$79,990 | \$500 | \$80,490 |
| Northwest Area Foundation | 1 | \$5,000 |  | \$5,000 |
| OnePulse Foundation | 1 |  | \$195,189 | \$195,189 |
| Onstead Foundation | 1 | \$5,000 |  | \$5,000 |
| Open Meadows Foundation | 2 | \$2,300 |  | \$2,300 |
| Open Society Foundations | 108 | \$8,518,097 | \$300,000 | \$8,818,097 |
| Oregon Community Foundation | 26 | \$220,299 |  | \$220,299 |
| Orlando City Soccer Club Foundation | 3 |  | \$524,246 | \$524,246 |
| Our Fund Foundation | 217 | \$737,679 | \$31,150 | \$768,829 |


| FOUNDATION NAME | TOTAL GRANTS | DIRECT GRANT DOLLARS | REGRANTING DOLLARS | $\begin{array}{r} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { DOLLARS } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OUT Miami Foundation | 4 | \$38,500 |  | \$38,500 |
| OutRight Action International | 2 | \$49,000 |  | \$49,000 |
| Overbrook Foundation, The | 6 | \$501,000 |  | \$501,000 |
| Packard Foundation, David and Lucile | 1 | \$25,000 |  | \$25,000 |
| Palette Fund | 20 | \$571,000 | \$75,000 | \$646,000 |
| Payson George Charitable Trust, Edward | 1 | \$420,000 |  | \$420,000 |
| Pfund Foundation | 32 | \$64,600 |  | \$64,600 |
| Philadelphia Foundation | 27 | \$239,293 | \$26,602 | \$265,895 |
| Pinkerton Foundation, The | 1 | \$65,000 |  | \$65,000 |
| Point Foundation | 84 | \$750,414 |  | \$750,414 |
| Polk Bros. Foundation | 6 | \$313,500 |  | \$313,500 |
| Pride Foundation | 279 | \$5,553,409 |  | \$5,553,409 |
| Proteus Fund | 4 | \$31,335 |  | \$31,335 |
| Publix Super Markets Charities | 1 |  | \$500,000 | \$500,000 |
| Raikes Foundation | 4 | \$113,000 |  | \$113,000 |
| Reaugh Trust Fund, Ernest | 5 | \$10,000 |  | \$10,000 |
| Renaissance Charitable Foundation | 1 | \$1,000 |  | \$1,000 |
| Retirement Research Foundation, The | 1 | \$33,000 |  | \$33,000 |
| Reynolds Foundation, Z. Smith | 4 | \$150,000 |  | \$150,000 |
| Richardson Fund, Anne S. | 2 | \$60,000 |  | \$60,000 |
| Richmond Memorial Health Foundation | 1 | \$2,500 |  | \$2,500 |
| Roaring Fork Gay and Lesbian Community Fund | 1 | \$5,000 |  | \$5,000 |
| Robert Wood Johnson Foundation | 5 | \$522,100 | \$100,000 | \$622,100 |
| Robin Hood Foundation | 4 | \$1,050,000 |  | \$1,050,000 |
| Robins, Kaplan, Miller and Ciresi, L.L.P. Foundation | 2 | \$15,000 |  | \$15,000 |
| Roblee Foundation, Joseph H. and Florence A. | 2 | \$33,000 |  | \$33,000 |
| Rochester Area Community Foundation | 16 | \$29,780 |  | \$29,780 |
| Rockefeller Philanthropy Advisors | 1 | \$500 |  | \$500 |
| Rocking Moon Foundation | 3 | \$250,000 |  | \$250,000 |
| Rockwell Collins Charitable Corporation | 1 | \$20,000 |  | \$20,000 |
| Rosenberg Foundation | 1 | \$750 |  | \$750 |
| Rubin Foundation, Shelley and Donald | 2 | \$50,000 |  | \$50,000 |
| S\&P Global | 1 | \$25,000 |  | \$25,000 |
| Salesforce Foundation | 1 | \$72,866 |  | \$72,866 |
| San Diego Foundation | 3 | \$66,193 |  | \$66,193 |
| San Diego Human Dignity Foundation | 35 | \$581,648 | \$250 | \$581,898 |
| San Francisco Arts Commission | 18 | \$533,735 |  | \$533,735 |
| San Francisco Foundation | 10 | \$322,463 |  | \$322,463 |


| FOUNDATION NAME | TOTAL GRANTS | DIRECT GRANT DOLLARS | REGRANTING DOLLARS | TOTAL DOLLARS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Santa Fe Community Foundation | 10 | \$45,500 |  | \$45,500 |
| Schott Foundation for Public Education | 4 | \$78,500 |  | \$78,500 |
| Schwartz Foundation, David | 1 | \$1,000 |  | \$1,000 |
| Seattle Foundation, The | 1 | \$1,000 |  | \$1,000 |
| Silicon Valley Community Foundation | 10 | \$68,500 |  | \$68,500 |
| Simmons Foundation, The | 8 | \$141,500 |  | \$141,500 |
| Siragusa Foundation | 3 | \$17,500 |  | \$17,500 |
| Skolnick Family Charitable Trust, The | 1 | \$1,000 |  | \$1,000 |
| Small Change Foundation | 22 | \$510,500 |  | \$510,500 |
| Snyder Fund, Valentine Perry | 1 | \$25,000 |  | \$25,000 |
| Social Justice Fund Northwest | 21 | \$278,903 |  | \$278,903 |
| Solidaire Network | 3 | \$32,500 |  | \$32,500 |
| Spearman Foundation, Grace Helen | 1 |  | \$5,000 | \$5,000 |
| Stern Memorial Trust, Sidney | 1 | \$2,500 |  | \$2,500 |
| Stonewall Community Foundation | 130 | \$429,394 |  | \$429,394 |
| Strengthen Orlando | 305 | \$29,510,000 |  | \$29,510,000 |
| Sturgis Charitable Trust | 1 | \$5,000 |  | \$5,000 |
| Target Corporation | 1 |  | \$250,000 | \$250,000 |
| Tawani Foundation | 14 | \$1,214,000 |  | \$1,214,000 |
| TEGNA Foundation | 1 | \$5,000 |  | \$5,000 |
| Terrell Charitable Trust | 1 | \$10,000 |  | \$10,000 |
| Texas Pride Impact Funds | 2 | \$22,500 |  | \$22,500 |
| The Denver Foundation | 3 | \$41,240 |  | \$41,240 |
| The Executive's Alliance | 1 | \$10,000 | \$30,000 | \$40,000 |
| Third Wave Fund | 43 | \$225,750 | \$75,000 | \$300,750 |
| Tides Foundation | 200 | \$5,087,452 | \$104,000 | \$5,191,452 |
| TJX Foundation, The | 3 | \$15,000 |  | \$15,000 |
| Trans Justice Funding Project | 102 | \$417,500 |  | \$417,500 |
| Tri-Valley Morning Star Foundation | 2 |  | \$200 | \$200 |
| Unitarian Universalist Program Veatch Program at Shelter Rock | 5 | \$240,000 |  | \$240,000 |
| Unitarian Universalist Service Committee | 7 | \$214,365 |  | \$214,365 |
| United Way of Central Ohio | 1 | \$151,000 |  | \$151,000 |
| United Way of Dallas | 2 | \$180,000 |  | \$180,000 |
| United Way of South Hampton Roads | 3 | \$60,776 |  | \$60,776 |
| United World Soccer | 1 |  | \$27,693 | \$27,693 |
| Urgent Action Fund | 34 | \$208,935 |  | \$208,935 |
| van Ameringen Foundation, H | 79 | \$3,588,000 | \$200,000 | \$3,788,000 |


| FOUNDATION NAME | TOTAL GRANTS | DIRECT GRANT DOLLARS | REGRANTING DOLLARS | TOTAL DOLLARS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vermont Community Foundation | 25 | \$67,950 | \$7,000 | \$74,950 |
| ViiV Healthcare (US) | 30 | \$1,215,482 | \$25,000 | \$1,240,482 |
| Violett, Ellen M. and Thomas, Mary P. R. Foundation | 2 | \$2,250 |  | \$2,250 |
| Walmart Foundation | 1 | \$10,000 |  | \$10,000 |
| Walt Disney Company | 1 |  | \$1,000,000 | \$1,000,000 |
| Warhol Foundation for the Visual Arts, Andy | 1 | \$100,000 |  | \$100,000 |
| Washington AIDS Partnership | 5 | \$640,500 |  | \$640,500 |
| Washington Area Women's Foundation | 1 | \$500 |  | \$500 |
| Weinberg Foundation, Bernard | 1 | \$500 |  | \$500 |
| Weinberg Foundation, Harry and Jeanette | 1 | \$250,000 |  | \$250,000 |
| Weingart Foundation | 1 | \$100,000 |  | \$100,000 |
| Wells Fargo | 66 | \$1,651,250 | \$635,000 | \$2,286,250 |
| Weston Foundation | 1 | \$5,000 |  | \$5,000 |
| Whatcom Community Foundation | 1 | \$20,000 |  | \$20,000 |
| Wild Geese Foundation | 24 | \$272,000 |  | \$272,000 |
| William A. Kerr Foundation | 6 | \$64,000 |  | \$64,000 |
| Williams Sonoma Foundation | 2 | \$1,933 |  | \$1,933 |
| Women's Foundation of California, The | 11 | \$255,460 |  | \$255,460 |
| Yen Family Charitable Foundation, The | 1 | \$1,000 |  | \$1,000 |
| Zale Foundation, MB \& Edna | 1 | \$10,000 |  | \$10,000 |
| Zarrow Families Foundation | 1 | \$500 |  | \$500 |
| Zarrow Family Foundation, Maxine \& Jack | 1 | \$1,500 |  | \$1,500 |
| Zarrow Foundation, Anne and Henry | 2 | \$155,000 |  | \$155,000 |
| TOTAL | 6032 | \$202,312,772 | \$36,381,934 | \$238,694,706 |

## METHODOLOGY \& ACKNOWLEDGMENTS <br> METHODOLOGY

We surveyed the 2016 grantmaking activity of nearly 1,000 philanthropic entities in search of LGBTQ funding. All types of foundations were surveyed-private, public, community and corporate-as well as nonprofit organizations with grantmaking programs. Information was obtained predominantly through self-reporting by grantmakers, as well as through a review of 990s and annual reports. This report includes all information received as of December 20, 2017.

Our overarching research goal was to ensure that the data we collected focused specifically on LGBTQ issues and organizations. Therefore, the data set does not include grants to organizations or projects that are generally inclusive of LGBTQ people unless they explicitly address an LGBTQ issue or population. For example, a women's organization that awarded a grant to develop a sex education curriculum for girls, open and welcoming to all girls, including LBTQ girls, would not have been included in the data. If that same organization was funded to provide sex education specifically to LBTQ girls, it would have been included.

We have included all re-granting dollars in charts that rank individual grantmakers and in the appendix to accurately show the overall level of LGBTQ funding provided by each grantmaker, regardless of whether those dollars are provided in the form of direct grants or through an intermediary that then re-grants those dollars to other organizations and individuals. As a result, the charts that rank grantmakers and the appendix "double-count" re-granting when aggregated. However, for all other tabulations and charts, we have not included dollars awarded for the purpose of re-granting, so as to avoid double counting.
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[^0]:    *Anonymous funders awarded $\$ 27,013,706$ in 2016. If the anonymous funders appeared as one funder in the list above, they would rank as the number two funder.

[^1]:    In contrast to other sections of this report, this list of top funders includes dollars awarded for re-granting, so as to capture the full amount of funding flowing from (or through) each funder.
    ${ }^{2}$ In 2016, anonymous funders awarded a total of $\$ 27,013,706$. If the anonymous funders appeared in the top twenty list as as a single funder, they would rank as the number two funder of LGBTQ issues. Collectively, they accounted for 13.5 percent of all LGBTQ grantmaking in 2016. This marks the first time anonymous funders surpassed $\$ 25$ million in LGBTQ grantmaking.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The two grantees not specifically focused on LGBTQ issues were the Center for the Study of Social Policy and CREA. The Center for the Study of Social Policy, a research institute that promotes ideas and public policies aimed at improving the wellbeing of marginalized children and families, was funded for work that supports LGBTQ youth in the child welfare system. CREA, a feminist human rights organization based in New Delhi, India, was funded for cross-movement building work.
    ${ }^{4}$ In 2016, multiple anonymous grantees received a total of $\$ 37,160,594$. This includes the individuals who received direct financial support in the aftermath of the Pulse Nightclub Massacre in Orlando. If the multiple anonymous grantees appeared in the top twenty list as a single grantee, they would rank as the number one grant recipient of LGBTQ funding.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ In contrast to other sections of this report, this chart includes dollars awarded for re-granting, so as to capture the full amount of funding flowing from (or through) each type of funder.

[^4]:    NOTE: "International" funding denotes support that is global in focus for activities that addressed LGBTQ issues at the international level, such as advocacy for greater inclusion of LGBTI communities in international law and in the policies of major international bodies, such as the United Nations. Funding "Outside the U.S." represents support for services and advocacy in countries around the world, generally focused on a specific locality, country, or region of multiple countries. For a more detailed look at global LGBTQI funding, see the Global Resources Report series produced by Funders for LGBTQ Issues and the Global Philanthropy Project.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ In 2016, anonymous funders awarded a total of $\$ 17,605,000$ to support LGBTQ issues in the United States. If the anonymous funders appeared in the top ten list as a single funder, they would rank as the number two domestic funder of LGBTQ issues.
    ${ }^{7}$ In 2016, multiple anonymous grantees received a total of $\$ 31,570,170$ for work benefiting LGBTQ communities in the United States. This includes the individuals who received direct financial support in the aftermath of the Pulse Nightclub Massacre in Orlando. If the multiple anonymous grantees appeared in the top ten list as a single grantee, they would rank as the number one grant recipient of domestic LGBTQ funding.

[^6]:    ${ }^{8}$ In 2016, anonymous funders awarded a total of $\$ 2,880,000$ to support LGBTQ issues at the local and state-level in the United States. If the anonymous funders appeared in the top ten list as as a single funder, they would rank as the number seven funder.
    ${ }^{9}$ In 2016, multiple anonymous grantees received a total of $\$ 30,790,756$ for the benefit of local and state-wide LGBTQ communities. This includes the individuals who received direct financial support in the aftermath of the Pulse Nightclub Massacre in Orlando. If the multiple anonymous grantees appeared in the top ten list as a single grantee, they would rank as the number one grant recipient of local and state-level funding.

[^7]:    Multi-Regional / Unspecified \$3,549,500

[^8]:    ${ }^{10}$ In 2016, one anonymous funder awarded a total of $\$ 9,408,706$ to support LGBTQ issues outside of the United States. If this one anonymous funder appeared in the top ten list, they would rank as the number one funder.
    ${ }^{11}$ In 2016, multiple anonymous grantees received $\$ 5,575,023$ for work benefiting LGBTQ communities outside the United States. If these multiple anonymous grantees appeared in the top ten list, they would rank as the number one grantee.

[^9]:    ${ }^{12}$ In 2016, multiple anonymous funders awarded a total of $\$ 3,898,706$ to support LGBTQ issues internationally. If the multiple anonymous funders appeared as one funder, they would rank as the number one funder.
    ${ }^{13}$ In 2016, multiple anonymous funders awarded a total of $\$ 1,130,000$ to support LGBTQ issues in Latin America and The Caribbean. If the multiple anonymous funders appeared as one funder, they would rank as the number one funder.

[^10]:    ${ }^{15}$ Anonymous grantees received a total of $\$ 1,152,728$ from private foundations. If they were one grantee, they would appear in the top ten list at number seven.

[^11]:    ${ }^{16}$ Anonymous grantees received a total of $35,776,865$ from public funders-which includes direct financial support provided to individuals affected by the Pulse Nightclub Massacre in Orlando. If they were one grantee, they would appear in the top ten list in the top spot.

